

Rohlin flows on the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_\infty$

Ola Bratteli

Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo
Blindern, P.O.Box 1053, N-0316, Norway

Akitaka Kishimoto

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan
and

Derek W. Robinson

Centre for Mathematics and its Applications, Australian National University
Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

January, 2005

Abstract

It is shown that certain quasi-free flows on the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ have the Rohlin property and therefore are cocycle-conjugate with each other. This, in particular, shows that any unital separable nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebra has a Rohlin flow.

1 Introduction

We are concerned here with Rohlin flows; a flow α on a unital C^* -algebra A is said to have the Rohlin property (or to be a Rohlin flow) if for any $p \in \mathbf{R}$ there is a central sequence (u_n) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$, the unitary group of A , such that $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(u_n) - e^{ipt}u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A major consequence of this property can be paraphrased as *any α -cocycle is almost a coboundary*. This consequence, combined with enough information on $\mathcal{U}(A)$, may lead us to a classification theory of Rohlin flows up to cocycle conjugacy. This is a goal we have in mind (see [14, 17, 18, 20, 19]).

Since the property is rather stringent, it is not easy to present a Rohlin flow in general. But we managed to give Rohlin flows on the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ with n finite; moreover we can identify the quasi-free flows which have the Rohlin property. In this paper we show that certain quasi-free flows on $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ have the Rohlin property. Hence it follows that any unital C^* -algebra A with $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ has Rohlin flows; the class of such A includes all unital separable nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebras, due to Kirchberg.

We have left some quasi-free flows $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ undecided whether they have the Rohlin property or not. But, as in [17], we show that all the Rohlin flows on $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ are cocycle conjugate with each other in the class of quasi-free flows. This is true for a wider class of flows. Noting that there is a certain maximal abelian C^* -subalgebra $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ whose elements the quasi-free flows fix, we show that Rohlin flows are cocycle-conjugate in the class of flows which are $C^{1+\epsilon}$ on $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ (see below for details and note that our terminology of quasi-free flows is restrictive).

We will now describe the contents more precisely.

For each $n = 2, 3, \dots$ the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ is generated by n isometries $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n s_k s_k^* = 1$. For $n = \infty$ the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ is generated by a sequence $(s_1, s_2, \dots)$ of isometries such that $\sum_{k=1}^n s_k s_k^* \leq 1$ for all n . It is shown in [6] that $\mathcal{O}_n$ with $n = 2, 3, \dots$ or $n = \infty$ is a simple purely infinite nuclear C^* -algebra.

For a finite (resp. infinite) sequence $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ in $\mathbf{R}$ we define a flow α , called a quasi-free flow, on $\mathcal{O}_n$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_\infty$) by

$$\alpha_t(s_k) = e^{ip_k t} s_k.$$

(In the case $n = \infty$, a more general flow can be induced by a unitary flow U on the closed linear subspace $\mathcal{H}$ spanned by $s_1, s_2, \dots$, where the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is given by $y^* x = \langle x, y \rangle 1$, $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, if the generator of U is not diagonal. But we will exclude them from the quasi-free flows in this paper.) It is known in [11, 21] that if $p_1, p_2, \dots$ generate $\mathbf{R}$ as a closed subsemigroup, then the crossed product $\mathcal{O}_n \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$ is simple and purely infinite (whether n is finite or infinite). It is also known in [17, 19] that if n is finite, the flow α has the Rohlin property if and only if $\mathcal{O}_\infty \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$ is simple and purely infinite. For $n = \infty$, it is known in [11, 14] that if α has the Rohlin property then $\mathcal{O}_\infty \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$ is simple and purely infinite. In this paper we shall give a partial converse to this fact:

Theorem 1.1 *Let (p_k) be an infinite sequence in $\mathbf{R}$ such that $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ generate $\mathbf{R}$ as a closed subsemigroup for some n . Then the quasi-free flow α on $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ defined by $\alpha_t(s_k) = e^{ip_k t} s_k$ has the Rohlin property.*

We shall prove that each α_t is α -invariantly approximately inner, i.e., for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$ there is a sequence (u_n) in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ such that $\alpha_t(x) = \lim \text{Ad } u_n(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{O}_\infty$ and $\max_{s \in [0,1]} \|\alpha_s(u_n) - u_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Then we would get the above theorem, by [18, 20], from the fact that $\mathcal{O}_\infty \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$ is simple and purely infinite.

Let $\mathcal{E}_n$ be the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_\infty = C^*(s_1, s_2, \dots)$ generated by $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$. Then $\mathcal{E}_n$ is left invariant under α and the union $\bigcup_n \mathcal{E}_n$ is dense in $\mathcal{O}_\infty$. Hence, to prove the assertion in the previous paragraph, it suffices to show that $\alpha|_{\mathcal{E}_n}$ is α -invariantly approximately inner for all large n . Let us state formally:

Proposition 1.2 *Let $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ be isometries such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n s_k s_k^* \leq 1$$

and let $\mathcal{E}_n$ be the C^* -algebra generated by these $s_1, \dots, s_n$. Let $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ be a finite sequence in $\mathbf{R}$ such that $p_1, \dots, p_n$ generate $\mathbf{R}$ as a closed subsemigroup and define a quasi-free flow α on $\mathcal{E}_n$ by $\alpha_t(s_k) = e^{ip_k t} s_k$. Then each α_t is α -invariantly approximately inner.

To prove this we use the following facts. Let $\mathcal{J}_n$ be the ideal of $\mathcal{E}_n$ generated by $e_n^0 = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^n s_k s_k^*$. Then $\mathcal{J}_n$ is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{K}$ of compact operators (on a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space) and is left invariant under α . The quotient $\mathcal{E}_n/\mathcal{J}_n$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_n$ by mapping $s_k + \mathcal{J}_n$ into s_k (the latter s_k 's satisfy the equality $\sum_{k=1}^n s_k s_k^* = 1$ and generate $\mathcal{O}_n$). By the assumption on (p_k) the induced flow $\dot{\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{O}_n$ has the Rohlin property [19], from which follows that each $\dot{\alpha}_t$ is α -invariantly approximately inner. We will translate this property to α_t on $\mathcal{E}_n$ by using the fact that $\mathcal{J}_n \cong \mathcal{K}$. See Section 3 for details.

Before embarking on the proof of the above proposition, we will have to prove that if α is a Rohlin flow on $\mathcal{O}_n$, then each α_t is not only α -invariantly approximately inner but also α -invariantly asymptotically inner, i.e., there is a continuous map $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ such that $\alpha_t(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u(s)(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and $\max_{t_1 \in [0, 1]} \|\alpha_{t_1}(u(s)) - u(s)\| \rightarrow 0$. This will be proved for a wider class of C^* -algebras (see 2.2 for details). (As a matter of fact we do not know of a single example of α without the above property of α -invariant asymptotical innerness if it has covariant irreducible representations; we expect that this property holds fairly in general whether it has the Rohlin property or not.)

As a corollary to the above theorem we get that any purely infinite simple separable nuclear C^* -algebra has a Rohlin flow; because such a C^* -algebra A satisfies that $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ due to Kirchberg (see [9]) and a flow α on $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ induces a flow on A via $\text{id} \otimes \alpha$ on $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ which has the Rohlin property if α has.

Let $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ denote the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ generated by $s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_k} s_{i_k}^* \cdots s_{i_1}^*$ with all finite sequences $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ in $\mathbf{N}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ is a weakly regular maximal abelian C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ (weakly regular in the sense that $\{u \in \mathcal{PI}(\mathcal{O}_\infty) \mid uu^*, u^*u \in \mathcal{C}_\infty, u\mathcal{C}_\infty u^* = \mathcal{C}_\infty uu^*\}$ generates $\mathcal{O}_\infty$, where $\mathcal{PI}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ is the set of partial isometries of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$). Moreover there is a projection of norm one of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ onto $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ and there is a character of $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ which extends uniquely to a state of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$. (When a weakly regular masa satisfies these two additional conditions, we will say that it is a *weak Cartan* masa.) We note that if α is a quasi-free flow (in our sense) then α_t is the identity on $\mathcal{C}_\infty$; in other words, if δ_α denotes the generator of α , then $D(\delta_\alpha) \supset \mathcal{C}_\infty$ and $\delta_\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}_\infty} = 0$. We consider the following condition for a flow γ on $\mathcal{O}_\infty$: $D(\delta_\gamma) \supset \mathcal{C}_\infty$ and $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}_\infty, \|x\| \leq 1} \|(\gamma_t - \text{id})\delta_\gamma(x)\|$ converges to zero as $t \rightarrow 0$; which we express by saying that γ is $C^{1+\epsilon}$ on $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ below. This is obviously satisfied if γ is C^2 on $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ or $D(\delta_\gamma^2) \supset \mathcal{C}_\infty$ (because then $\delta_\alpha^2|_{\mathcal{C}_\infty}$ is bounded).

We will also show:

Corollary 1.3 *Any two Rohlin flows on $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ are cocycle conjugate with each other if they are $C^{1+\epsilon}$ on $\mathcal{C}_\infty$.*

The proof consists of two parts. In the first part we show that if the flow γ is $C^{1+\epsilon}$ on $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ then $\delta_\gamma|_{\mathcal{C}_\infty}$ is inner, i.e., there is an $h = h^* \in \mathcal{O}_\infty$ such that $\delta_\gamma(x) = \text{ad } ih(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{C}_\infty$

(see 5.6). Thus we can assume, by inner perturbation, that $\delta_\gamma|\mathcal{C}_\infty = 0$. In the second part we show that any two Rohlin flows are cocycle-conjugate with each other if they fix each element of $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ (see 5.11).

Acknowledgement. One of the authors (A.K.) visited at Australian National University in March, 2004 and at University of Oslo in August-September, 2004 during this collaboration. He acknowledges partial financial supports from these institutions.

2 Rohlin property

In this section we consider the class of purely infinite simple nuclear separable C^* -algebra satisfying the universal coefficient theorem, which is classified by Kirchberg and Phillips [9, 10] in terms of K-theory.

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra of the above class. Let $\ell^\infty(A)$ be the C^* -algebra of bounded sequences in A and let, for a free ultrafilter ω on $\mathbf{N}$, $c_\omega(A)$ be the ideal of $\ell^\infty(A)$ consisting of $x = (x_n)$ with $\lim_\omega \|x_n\| = 0$. If α is a flow on A , i.e., a strongly continuous one-parameter automorphism group of A , we can define an action of $\mathbf{R}$ on $\ell^\infty(A)$ by $t \mapsto (\alpha_t(x_n))$ for $x = (x_n)$. Let $\ell_\alpha^\infty(A)$ be the maximal C^* -subalgebra of $\ell^\infty(A)$ on which this action is continuous; we will denote this flow by α . We set

$$A^\omega = \ell^\infty(A)/c_\omega(A), \quad A_\alpha^\omega = \ell_\alpha^\infty(A)/c_\omega(A).$$

We embed A into $\ell_\alpha^\infty(A)$ by constant sequences. Since $A \cap c_\omega(A) = \{0\}$, we regard A as a C^* -subalgebra of $A_\alpha^\omega \subset A^\omega$.

We recall the following result [18, 20]:

Theorem 2.1 *Let A be a unital separable nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebra satisfying the universal coefficient theorem and let α be a flow on A . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

1. α has the Rohlin property.
2. $(A' \cap A_\alpha^\omega)^\alpha$ is purely infinite and simple, $K_0((A' \cap A_\alpha^\omega)^\alpha) \cong K_0(A' \cap A^\omega)$ induced by the embedding, and $\text{Spec}(\alpha|_{A' \cap A_\alpha^\omega}) = \mathbf{R}$.
3. The crossed product $A \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$ is purely infinite and simple and the dual action $\hat{\alpha}$ has the Rohlin property.
4. The crossed product $A \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$ is purely infinite and simple and α_{t_0} is α -invariantly approximately inner for every $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$.

If the above conditions are satisfied, it also follows that $K_1((A' \cap A_\alpha^\omega)^\alpha) \cong K_1(A' \cap A^\omega)$, which is induced by the embedding.

In the last condition of the above theorem, α_{t_0} (for a fixed t_0) is α -invariantly approximately inner if there is a sequence (u_n) in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $\alpha_{t_0} = \lim \text{Ad } u_n$ and $\max_{t \in [0,1]} \|\alpha_t(u_n) - u_n\| \rightarrow 0$. We will strengthen this condition as follows.

Lemma 2.2 *Let α be a Rohlin flow on a unital C^* -algebra A of the above class (or in particular $\mathcal{O}_n$). Then each α_{t_0} is α -invariantly asymptotically inner, i.e., there is a continuous map $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $\alpha_{t_0} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u(s)$ and*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \|\alpha_t(u(s)) - u(s)\| = 0.$$

Proof. Since $KK(\alpha_{t_0}) = KK(\text{id})$, α_{t_0} is asymptotically inner [25], i.e., there is a continuous map $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that

$$\alpha_{t_0} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } v(s).$$

Let $w(s, t) = v(s)\alpha_t(v(s)^*)$, $s \in [0, \infty)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Then for each $s \in [0, \infty)$, the map $t \mapsto w(s, t)$ is an α -cocycle, i.e., $t \mapsto w(s, t)$ is a continuous function into $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $w(s, t_1 + t_2) = w(s, t_1)\alpha_{t_1}(w(s, t_2))$, $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{R}$. Since for each $x \in A$,

$$\|[w(s, t), x]\| \leq \|\text{Ad } v(s)(\alpha_{-t_0-t}(x)) - \alpha_{-t}(x)\| + \|\text{Ad } v(s)(\alpha_{-t_0}(x)) - x\|.$$

we get, for any $T \gg 0$ and for any $x \in A$, that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|[w(s, t), x]\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

More specifically let $\mathcal{F}$ be a finite subset of A and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists an $a > 0$ such that if $s \geq a$, then $\|\text{Ad } v(s)(\alpha_{-t_0-t}(x)) - \alpha_{-t}(x)\| < \epsilon/22$ for $x \in \mathcal{F}$ and $t \in [0, T]$, which entails that $\|[w(s, t), x]\| < \epsilon/11$ for $x \in \mathcal{F}$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

Furthermore, for any bounded interval I of $[0, \infty)$, there is a continuous map $z : I \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} z(s, 0) &= 1, \\ z(s, T) &= w(s, T), \\ \|z(s, t_1) - z(s, t_2)\| &\leq (16\pi/3 + \epsilon)|t_1 - t_2|/T, \\ \|[z(s, t), x]\| &< 10\epsilon/11, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}, \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in I$ and $t, t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$. (Here we used the estimate for a particular construction of $z(s, t)$ that

$$\|[z(s, t), x]\| < 9 \max_{0 \leq t_1 \leq T} \|[w(s, t_1), x]\| + \epsilon'$$

for any $\epsilon' > 0$; see [24] or 2.7 of [18].) By using this z , we get a continuous map $U : I \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(s, t) - U(s)\alpha_t(U(s)^*)\| &\leq 6\pi|t|/T + \epsilon, \\ \|[U(s), x]\| &\leq \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have assumed that $16\pi/3 + \epsilon < 6\pi$.

We recall how $U_T(s) = U(s)$ is defined [14]. We define a unitary $\tilde{U}_T$ in $C(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}) \otimes A$ by

$$\tilde{U}_T(t) = w(s, Tt)\alpha_{T(t-1)}(z_T(s, Tt)^*),$$

where $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ is identified with $[0, 1]/\{0, 1\}$ and $z_T(s, t) = z(s, t)$ is defined above, and we embed $C(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}) \otimes A$ into A *approximately* by using the Rohlin property. (If τ is the flow on $C(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})$ induced by translations on $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, then $\|1 \otimes w(s, t) - \tilde{U}(\tau_{t/T} \otimes \alpha_t)(\tilde{U}^*)\| \leq 6\pi|t|/T$. We find an approximate homomorphism ϕ of $C(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}) \otimes A$ into A such that $\phi \circ (\tau_{t/T} \otimes \alpha_t) \approx \alpha_t \phi$ and $\phi(1 \otimes x) \approx x$, $x \in A$.) Since z_T is defined in terms of $w(s, t)$, $t \in [0, T]$ and other elements which almost commute with them, we may assume that $S \in [0, T] \rightarrow z_S$ is continuous; hence that $S \in [0, T] \rightarrow \tilde{U}_S \in \mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}) \otimes A)$ is continuous. Note also that $\tilde{U}_S$ commutes with any element to the same degree as $\tilde{U}_T$ does with it. Since $\tilde{U}_0 = 1$, we may thus assume that there is a continuous path $(U_t, t \in [0, 1])$ in the space of continuous maps of I into $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $U_0(s) = 1$, $U_1(s) = U(s)$, and $\|[U_t(s), x]\| < \epsilon$, $x \in \mathcal{F}$.

Then we set $v_1(s) = U(s)^*v(s)$ for $s \in I$, which satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{Ad } v_1(s)(x) - \alpha_{t_0}(x)\| &\leq 2\epsilon, \quad x \in \alpha_{-t_0}(\mathcal{F}), \\ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(v_1(s)) - v_1(s)\| &\leq 6\pi/T + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown the following assertion: For any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of A and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $a \in [0, \infty)$ such that for any compact interval I of $[a, \infty)$ we find a continuous $v_I : I \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that

$$\|\text{Ad } v_I(s, t)(x) - \alpha_{t_0}(x)\| < \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}, \quad (s, t) \in I \times [0, 1],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_I(s, 0) &= v(s), \quad s \in I, \\ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(v_I(s, 1)) - v_I(s, 1)\| &< \epsilon, \quad s \in I, \end{aligned}$$

where $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ has been chosen so that $\alpha_{t_0} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } v(s)$.

Let $(\mathcal{F}_n)$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of A such that $\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$ is dense in A and (ϵ_k) a decreasing sequence in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_k \epsilon_k = 0$. We choose an increasing sequence (a_k) in $(0, \infty)$ such that if I is a compact interval of $[a_k, \infty)$ then there is a continuous $v : I \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that the above conditions are satisfied for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_k$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon_k$.

Let $a_0 = 0$ and $I_k = [a_k, a_{k+1}]$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. For each $k = 1, 2, \dots$ we choose $v_k : I_k \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ for $\mathcal{F}_k$ and ϵ_k as above and define $v_0 : I_0 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ by $v_0(s, t) = v(s)$, $s \in I_0$. If $v_{k-1}(a_k) = v_k(a_k)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we would be finished by defining a continuous function $v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ with the desired properties in an obvious way. But note that $v_k(a_k)v_{k-1}(a_k)^*$ is connected to 1 by a continuous path $(w_k(s), s \in [0, 1])$ such that $w_k(0) = 1$, $w_k(1) = v_k(a_k)v_{k-1}(a_k)^*$, and

$$\|[w_k(s), x]\| < 2\epsilon_{k-1}, \quad x \in \alpha_{t_0}(\mathcal{F}_{k-1})$$

for $s \in [0, 1]$. By modifying the path $w_k(s)$, $s \in [0, 1]$, we have to impose the condition that $\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(w_k(s)) - w_k(s)\|$ is small; then the path $s \mapsto w_k(s)v_{k-1}(s)$ connects $v_{k-1}(a_k)$ with $v_k(a_k)$ and has the desired property with respect to α . Thus it suffices to prove the following lemma by assuming that $(\alpha_{t_0}(\mathcal{F}_k))_k$ is sufficiently rapidly increasing and (ϵ_k) is sufficiently rapidly decreasing. $\square$

Lemma 2.3 *For any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of A and $\epsilon > 0$ there exist a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of A and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: If a continuous $v : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ satisfies that*

$$\begin{aligned} v(0) &= 1, \\ \|[v(s), x]\| &< \delta, \quad s \in [0, 1], \quad x \in \mathcal{G}, \\ \|\alpha_t(v(1)) - v(1)\| &< \delta, \quad t \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

then there exists a continuous $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u(0) &= 1, \\ u(1) &= v(1), \\ \|[u(s), x]\| &< \epsilon, \quad s \in [0, 1], \quad x \in \mathcal{F}, \\ \|\alpha_t(u(s)) - u(s)\| &< \epsilon, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad s \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose that v satisfies that $v(0) = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|[v(s), \alpha_{-t}(x)]\| &< \delta, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}, \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(v(1)) - v(1)\| &< \delta. \end{aligned}$$

We define $w(s, t) = v(s)\alpha_t(v(s)^*)$. Then $t \mapsto w(s, t)$ is an α -cocycle for each $s \in [0, 1]$ and satisfies that $w(0, t) = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|[w(s, t), x]\| &< 2\delta, \\ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|w(1, t) - 1\| &< \delta. \end{aligned}$$

From the latter condition there are $b, h \in A_{sa}$ such that $b \approx 0$, $h \approx 0$, and $w(1, t) = e^{ib} z_t^{(h)} \alpha_t(e^{-ib})$, where $z_t^{(h)}$ is a differentiable α -cocycle such that $dz_t/dt|_{t=0} = ih$ [15]. By connecting the α -cocycle $t \mapsto w(1, t)$ with the trivial α -cocycle 1 by the path of α -cocycles $s \mapsto (t \mapsto e^{isb} z_t^{(sh)} \alpha_t(e^{-isb}))$ and squeezing it around $0 \in \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, we get an α -cocycle W in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes A$ with respect to the flow $\text{id} \otimes \alpha$ such that $W(0, t) = 1$ and $W(s, t) \approx w(s, t)$. Hence it suffices to show the following lemma, because then we find a unitary $Z \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes A$ with appropriate commutativity such that $Z(0) = 1$ and $W(\cdot, t) \approx Z\alpha_t(Z)^*$, and replace v by the path $s \mapsto Z(s)^*v(s)$ which are almost α -invariant and moves from $v(0) = 1$ to $v(1)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.4 *For any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of A and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of A and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: Let $\bar{\alpha} = \text{id} \otimes \alpha$ be the flow on $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes A$ and let $t \mapsto W_t$ be an $\bar{\alpha}$ -cocycle such that $W_t(0) = 1$ at $0 \in \mathbf{T} = [0, 1]/\{0, 1\}$ and*

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|[W_t, 1 \otimes x]\| < \delta, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Then there exists a unitary Z in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes A$ such that $Z(0) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|[Z, 1 \otimes x]\| &< \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}, \\ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|W_t - Z\bar{\alpha}_t(Z^*)\| &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We just sketch the proof; see [14] or the first part of the proof of 2.2 for details.

To meet the last condition we choose $T \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $T^{-1} < \epsilon/6\pi$. Then we impose the condition that $\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|[W_t, 1 \otimes x]\| < \delta/T$ for $x \in \bigcup_{-T \leq t \leq 0} \alpha_t(\mathcal{F})$, which can be replaced by a finite subset because it is compact. Since $\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|[W_t, 1 \otimes x]\| < \delta$, we find a continuous path $(U_t, t \in [0, T])$ in $\mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes A)$ such that $U_0 = 1$, $U_T = W_T$, $U_t(0) = 1$, $\|U_{t_1} - U_{t_2}\| \leq 6\pi|t_1 - t_2|/T$, and $\|[U_t, x]\| < 9\delta$. By using W and U and the Rohlin property for α , we define a unitary $Z \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes A$ such that $Z(0) = 1$, $\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|W_t - Z\alpha_t(Z^*)\| < \epsilon$, and $\|[Z, 1 \otimes x]\| < 10\delta$, $x \in \mathcal{F}$. $\square$

We also give the following technical results which will be used in the next section. We assume that α is a Rohlin flow on A as before.

Lemma 2.5 *For any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of A and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of A and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: If $(u(s), s \in [0, 1])$ is a continuous path in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|[u(s), x]\| &< \delta, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}, \\ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(u(s)) - u(s)\| &< \delta, \quad s \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

then there exists a rectifiable path $v(s), s \in [0, 1]$ such that $v(0) = u(0)$, $v(1) = u(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|[v(s), x]\| &< \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}, \\ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(v(s)) - v(s)\| &< \epsilon, \quad s \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

and the length of the path v is less than $17\pi/3$. If $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{G} = \emptyset$ is possible.

Proof. Without the conditions with respect to α , this is shown in [24].

To define v we use certain elements of A which almost commute with $u(s)$, $s \in [0, 1]$. They are a certain compact subset of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$, which is then embedded centrally in A in [24], by using a result due to Kirchberg and Phillips. In the present case, to meet the condition of almost α -invariance, those elements embedded in A should be almost invariant under α . For this we use the fact that $(A' \cap A_\alpha^\omega)^\alpha$ is purely infinite and simple [18].

Explicitly we assume that those elements of $\mathcal{O}_\infty = C^*(s_1, s_2, \dots)$ (before the embedding into A) is in the linear subspace spanned by a finite number of monomials in $s_1, \dots, s_k$ and their adjoints for some k . We find a finite sequence $(T_1, \dots, T_k)$ of isometries in $(A' \cap A_\alpha^\omega)^\alpha$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k T_i T_i^* \leq 1$. Each T_i is represented by a central sequence $(t_i(m))$ of isometries in A such that $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i(m) t_i(m)^* \leq 1$ and $\max_{t \in [0,1]} \|\alpha_t(t_i(m)) - t_i(m)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We then express those elements in terms of $t_1(m), \dots, t_k(m)$ in place of $s_1, \dots, s_k$ respectively for a sufficiently large m . Thus we get the required condition involving α . $\square$

We will denote by δ_α the generator of α , which is a closed derivation from a dense $*$ -subalgebra $D(\delta_\alpha)$ into A . See [5, 2, 27] for the theory of generators and derivations.

Lemma 2.6 *Let $(u(s), s \in [0, \infty))$ be a continuous path in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that*

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(u(s)) - u(s)\|$$

converges to zero as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Then there is a continuous path $(v(s), s \in [0, \infty))$ of unitaries such that $v(s) \in D(\delta_\alpha)$ and $\delta_\alpha(v(s))$ and $u(s) - v(s)$ converge to zero as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let f be a non-negative C^∞ -function on $\mathbf{R}$ of compact support such that the integral is 1. We set

$$z(s) = b(s) \int f(b(s)t) \alpha_t(u(s)) dt,$$

where $b : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous decreasing function such that $\lim_s b(s) = 0$, $\|z(s) - u(s)\| < 1$, and $\|z(s) - u(s)\| \rightarrow 0$. Then it follows that $z(s) \in D(\delta_\alpha)$ and

$$\|\delta_\alpha(z(s))\| \leq b(s) \int |f'(t)| dt,$$

which converges to zero as $s \rightarrow \infty$. We set $v(s) = z(s)|z(s)|^{-1}$, which satisfies the required conditions. $\square$

Lemma 2.7 *For a finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of A and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of A and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition. Let u be a unitary in $C[0, 1] \otimes A$ such that $u(0) = 1$, $u(t) \in D(\delta_\alpha)$, $\|\delta_\alpha(u(t))\| < \delta$, and $\|[u(t), x]\| < \delta$, $x \in \mathcal{G}$. Then there exist $h_i \in D(\delta_\alpha) \cap A_{sa}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u(1) &= e^{ih_1} e^{ih_2} \dots e^{ih_{10}}, \\ \|h_i\| &< \pi, \\ \|\delta_\alpha(h_i)\| &< \epsilon, \\ \|[h_i, x]\| &< \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{G} = \emptyset$ is possible.

Proof. We may assume, by 2.5, that the length of the path u is smaller than $17\pi/3 < 18$. Then we choose $0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_9 < 1$ such that $\|u(s_i) - u(s_{i-1})\| < 9/5 < 2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$, where $s_0 = 0$ and $s_{10} = 1$. Note that

$$u(1) = u(s_0)^* u(s_1) \cdot u(s_1)^* u(s_2) \cdots u(s_9)^* u(s_{10}).$$

Since $\|u(s_{i-1})^* u(s_i) - 1\| < 9/5$, the spectrum of $u(s_{i-1})^* u(s_i)$ is contained in

$$S = \{e^{i\theta} \mid |\theta| < \theta_0\},$$

where $\theta_0 = \pi - 2\cos^{-1}(9/10) < \pi$. Let Arg denote the function $e^{i\theta} \mapsto \theta$ from S onto the interval $(-\theta_0, \theta_0)$ and set $h_i = \text{Arg}(u(s_{i-1})^* u(s_i))$. Then we have that $\|h_i\| < \pi$ and $u(1) = e^{ih_1} e^{ih_2} \cdots e^{ih_{10}}$. We shall show that these h_i satisfy the other conditions for a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$.

In general if v is a unitary with $\text{Spec}(v) \subset S$, then $h = \text{Arg}(v)$ can be obtained as

$$h = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C (\log z)(z - v)^{-1} dz,$$

where $\log z$ is the logarithmic function on $\mathbf{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ with values in $\{z \mid |\Im z| < \pi\}$ and C is a simple rectifiable path surrounding S in the domain of $\log$. We fix C and let r be the distance between C and S . Since

$$\delta_\alpha(h) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \log z (z - v)^{-1} \delta_\alpha(v) (z - v)^{-1} dz,$$

we have the estimate

$$\|\delta_\alpha(h)\| \leq (2\pi)^{-1} M |C| r^{-2} \|\delta_\alpha(v)\|,$$

where M is the maximum of $|\log z|$, $z \in C$ and $|C|$ is the length of C . Similarly we have the estimate $\|[h, x]\| \leq (2\pi)^{-1} M |C| r^{-2} \|[v, x]\|$ for any $x \in A$. (See [5, 27] for details.) Thus we get the conclusion. $\square$

3 Proof of Proposition 1.2

We recall that $\mathcal{E}_n = C^*(s_1, \dots, s_n)$, where $s_1, \dots, s_n$ are isometries such that $e_n^0 = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^n s_k s_k^*$ is a non-zero projection, and that $\mathcal{J}_n$ is the ideal of $\mathcal{E}_n$ generated by e_n^0 . Let $\mathcal{S} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^*$ denote the set of all finite sequences including an empty sequence, denoted by $\emptyset$. For $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) \in \mathcal{S}$ with $m = |I|$, we set $s_I = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_m}$, where $|I|$ is the length of I ; if $|I| = 0$ or $I = \emptyset$, then $s_I = 1$. It then follows that $\{s_I e_n s_J^* \mid I, J \in \mathcal{S}\}$ forms a family of matrix units and spans $\mathcal{J}_n$. Thus, in particular, $\mathcal{J}_n$ is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{K}$ of compact operators (on an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space). Hence there is a unique (up to unitary equivalence) irreducible representation π_0 of $\mathcal{E}_n$ such that $\pi_0|_{\mathcal{J}_n}$ is non-zero or $\pi_0(e_n^0)$ is a one-dimensional projection. We call this

representation the Fock representation and denote by $\mathcal{H}_0$ the representation Hilbert space of π_0 .

We recall that the flow α is defined as $\alpha_t(s_k) = e^{ip_k t} s_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. For $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in \mathcal{S}$ let $p(I) = \sum_{k=1}^m p_{i_k} \in \mathbf{R}$. We set $H_0 = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}} p(I) \pi_0(s_I e_n s_I^*)$, which is a well-defined self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}_0$. Then it follows that $\text{Ad } e^{itH_0} \pi_0(x) = \pi_0 \alpha_t(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$ and, by the assumption on $p_1, \dots, p_n$, that the spectrum of H_0 is the whole $\mathbf{R}$. Note that $\mathcal{E}_n / \mathcal{J}_n \cong \mathcal{O}_n = C^*(\dot{s}_1, \dots, \dot{s}_n)$, where $\dot{s}_k = s_k + \mathcal{J}_n$; we will later on denote $\dot{s}_k$ by s_k . Note also that α induces a flow on $\mathcal{O}_n$, which we will also denote by α . We will denote by Q the quotient map of $\mathcal{E}_n$ onto $\mathcal{O}_n$.

Lemma 3.1 *For any $h \in (\mathcal{O}_n)_{sa} \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $b \in (\mathcal{E}_n)_{sa} \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ such that $Q(b) = h$, $\|b\| < \|h\| + \epsilon$, and $\|\delta_\alpha(b)\| < \|\delta_\alpha(h)\| + \epsilon$.*

Proof. Since $Q\alpha_t = \alpha_t Q$ on $\mathcal{E}_n$, it follows that $(1 + \delta_\alpha)^{-1} Q = Q(1 + \delta_\alpha)^{-1}$, which implies that $Q(D(\delta_\alpha)) = D(\delta_\alpha)$. (We will use the same symbol δ_α for the generator of $\alpha|_{\mathcal{E}_n}$ and of $\alpha|_{\mathcal{O}_n}$.)

Thus, for any h as above, there is a $b \in (\mathcal{E}_n)_{sa} \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ such that $Q(b) = h$. By C^∞ -functional calculus we may suppose that $\|b\| < \|h\| + \epsilon$.

Since H_0 is diagonal, there exists an approximate identity (p_k) for $\mathcal{J}_n$ consisting of projections in $\mathcal{J}_n \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ such that $\delta_\alpha(p_k) = 0$. Since $Q(p_k) = 0$, we may replace b by $(1 - p_k)b(1 - p_k)$. We choose a p_k such that $\|(1 - p_k)\delta_\alpha(b)(1 - p_k)\| < \|\delta_\alpha(h)\| + \epsilon$ (since $\|Q(\delta_\alpha(b))\| = \|\delta_\alpha(h)\| = \lim_k \|(1 - p_k)\delta_\alpha(b)(1 - p_k)\|$). Then it follows that $b_1 = (1 - p_k)b(1 - p_k)$ belongs to $(\mathcal{E}_n)_{sa} \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ and satisfies that $\|b_1\| \leq \|b\| \leq \|h\| + \epsilon$ and

$$\|\delta_\alpha(b_1)\| = \|(1 - p_k)\delta_\alpha(b)(1 - p_k)\| < \|\delta_\alpha(h)\| + \epsilon.$$

Thus b_1 satisfies the required conditions. $\square$

Lemma 3.2 *For any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{E}_n$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{O}_n$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: If $h \in (\mathcal{O}_n)_{sa} \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ such that $\|h\| < \pi$, $\|\delta_\alpha(h)\| < \delta$, and $\|[h, x]\| < \delta$, $x \in \mathcal{G}$, then there is a $b \in (\mathcal{E}_n)_{sa} \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} Q(b) &= h, \\ \|b\| &< \pi + \epsilon, \\ \|\delta_\alpha(b)\| &< \epsilon, \\ \|[b, x]\| &< \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}, \\ be_n^0 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that in the above statement we may allow $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ to be compact subsets instead of finite subsets.

Note that $\mathcal{E}_n$ is nuclear as well as $\mathcal{O}_n$ and $\mathcal{J}_n$. Let $T > 0$ be so large that $\pi/T < \epsilon/2$. Since $\mathcal{F}_1 = \bigcup_{-T \leq t \leq T} \alpha_t(\mathcal{F})$ is compact, there is a $w = (w_1, \dots, w_K) \in M_{1K}(\mathcal{E}_n)$ for some $K \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $ww^* = 1$ and

$$\|[wxw^*, a]\| \leq (\epsilon/\pi)\|x\|, \quad x \in \mathcal{E}_n,$$

for any $a \in \mathcal{F}_1$, where $w x w^* = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i x w_i^*$. Note that $x \mapsto w x w^*$ is a kind of unital averaging map of A into A (this is due to Haagerup [8]; see also [22]). We set $\mathcal{G} = \{Q(\alpha_t(w_i)) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, K, |t| \leq T\}$, which is a compact subset of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Let $\delta \in (0, \epsilon/2)$ and let $h \in (\mathcal{O}_n)_{sa} \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ be such that $\|h\| < \pi$, $\|\delta_\alpha(h)\| < \delta$, and $\|[h, x]\| < \delta$, $x \in \mathcal{G}$. We assume that $\delta > 0$ is so small that we get

$$\|Q(\alpha_t(w))hQ(\alpha_t(w)) - h\| < \epsilon, \quad t \in [-T, T].$$

Let $b \in (\mathcal{E}_n)_{sa} \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ be such that $Q(b) = h$, $\|b\| < \pi$, and $\|\delta_\alpha(b)\| < \delta$.

We define

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \alpha_t(w) b \alpha_t(w)^* dt.$$

Then it follows that $\|b_1\| < \pi$ and $\|Q(b_1) - h\| < \epsilon$. It also follows that $b_1 \in (\mathcal{E}_n)_{sa} \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ and

$$\delta_\alpha(b_1) = (2T)^{-1}(\alpha_T(w) b \alpha_T(w)^* - \alpha_{-T}(w) b \alpha_{-T}(w)^*) + \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \alpha_t(w) \delta_\alpha(b) \alpha_t(w)^* dt,$$

which implies that $\|\delta_\alpha(b_1)\| < \pi/T + \delta < \epsilon$.

Let $a \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\|[\alpha_t(w) b \alpha_t(w)^*, a]\| = \|[w \alpha_{-t}(b) w^*, \alpha_{-t}(a)]\| \leq (\epsilon/\pi) \|b\| < \epsilon$ for $t \in [-T, T]$, we get that $\|[b_1, a]\| < \epsilon$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$.

To meet the condition $b_1 e_n^0 = 0$, let (p_k) be an approximate identity for $\mathcal{J}_n$ in $\mathcal{J}_n \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ such that $p_k \geq e_n^0$, $\delta_\alpha(p_k) = 0$ and $\|[p_k, x]\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$. We replace b_1 by $(1 - p_k) b_1 (1 - p_k)$ for a sufficiently large k .

In this way we get a $b \in (\mathcal{E}_n)_{sa} \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ which satisfies all the required conditions except for $Q(b) = h$; instead of which we have that $\|Q(b) - h\| < \epsilon$. By the previous lemma, since $\|\delta_\alpha(Q(b) - h)\| < \epsilon + \delta$, we get a $c \in (\mathcal{E}_n)_{sa} \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ such that $Q(c) = h - Q(b)$, $\|c\| < \epsilon$, and $\|\delta_\alpha(c)\| < \epsilon + \delta$. We may also require that $c e_n^0 = 0$. Thus we can take $b + c$ for b , which satisfies the required conditions if we start with a smaller ϵ . $\square$

Fix $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$. We choose, by 2.2 and 2.6, a continuous $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_n) \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ such that $\alpha_{t_0} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u(s)$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \delta_\alpha(u(s)) = 0$. Since the unitary group of $\mathcal{O}_n$ is connected, we may suppose that $u(0) = 1$.

Let $(\mathcal{F}_k)$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of $\mathcal{E}_n$ such that the union $\bigcup_k \mathcal{F}_k$ is dense in $\mathcal{E}_n$ and (ϵ_k) a decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_k \epsilon_k \equiv \epsilon \ll 1$. We choose, by 3.2, $\mathcal{G}_k = \mathcal{G}$ and $\delta_k = \delta$ for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_k$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon_k$. We may suppose that $(\mathcal{G}_k)$ is increasing and (δ_k) is decreasing to zero.

For the above continuous map $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_\infty) \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$, we will choose an increasing sequence (s_k) in $[0, \infty)$ with $s_0 = 0$ such that $\|\delta_\alpha(u(s_k)^* u(s_{k+1}))\|$ is sufficiently small for $k \geq 0$ and $u(s_k)^* u(s_{k+1})$ is sufficiently central for $k \geq 1$. Specifically, by 2.7, we assume

that $u(s_k)^*u(s_{k+1})$ has the following factorization:

$$\begin{aligned} u(s_k)^*u(s_{k+1}) &= e^{ih_{k,1}}e^{ih_{k,2}}\dots e^{ih_{k,10}}, \\ \|h_{ki}\| &< \pi, \\ \|\delta_\alpha(h_{ki})\| &< \delta_k, \\ \|[h_{ki}, x]\| &< \delta_k, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_0 = \emptyset$. Then by 3.2 we choose $b_{ki} \in (\mathcal{E}_n)_{sa} \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} Q(b_{ki}) &= h_{ki}, \\ \|b_{ki}\| &< \pi + \epsilon_k, \\ \|\delta_\alpha(b_{ki})\| &< \epsilon_k, \\ \|[b_{ki}, x]\| &< \epsilon_k, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_k, \\ \pi_0(b_{ki})\Omega_0 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_0 = \emptyset$ and Ω_0 is a unit vector in $\mathcal{H}_0$ such that $\pi_0(e_n^0)\Omega_0 = \Omega_0$.

We set $w_0 = 1$ and lift $u(s_k) = u(s_{k-1}) \cdot u(s_{k-1})^*u(s_k)$ for $k \geq 1$ to a unitary in $\mathcal{E}_n \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ as

$$w_k = w_{k-1}e^{ib_{k,1}}e^{ib_{k,2}}\dots e^{ib_{k,10}},$$

It then follows that $\text{Ad } w_k$ converges on $\mathcal{E}_n$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $Q \circ (\lim \text{Ad } w_k) = \alpha_{t_0} \circ Q$. When we choose $\mathcal{G}_k$ and δ_k , we should choose them for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_k \cup \text{Ad } w_{k-1}^*(\mathcal{F}_k)$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon_k$, which will make sure that $\text{Ad } w_k^*$ also converges. In this way we have $\beta = \lim \text{Ad } w_k$ as an automorphism of $\mathcal{E}_n$, which satisfies that

$$\beta \circ Q = Q \circ \alpha_{t_0}.$$

Since $\|\alpha_t(w_k) - w_k\|$ is dominated by

$$\|\alpha_t(w_{k-1}) - w_{k-1}\| + \sum_{j=1}^{10} \|\alpha_t(e^{ib_{kj}}) - e^{ib_{kj}}\|,$$

and since $\|\alpha_t(e^{ib_{kj}}) - e^{ib_{kj}}\| \leq \|\delta_\alpha(b_{kj})\||t| \leq \epsilon_k|t|$, we get that

$$\|\alpha_t(w_k) - w_k\| \leq \|\alpha_t(w_{k-1}) - w_{k-1}\| + 10\epsilon_k|t|.$$

Thus if $|t| \leq 1$, then it follows that

$$\|\alpha_t(w_k) - w_k\| \leq 10 \sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i < 10\epsilon.$$

In the Fock representation π_0 , since $\pi_0(w_k)\Omega_0 = \Omega_0$, we have that

$$\pi_0(w_k)\pi_0(x)\Omega_0 = \pi_0(\text{Ad } w_k(x))\Omega_0$$

converges strongly to $\pi_0(\beta(x))\Omega_0$ for any $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$. Hence $\pi_0(w_k)$ converges strongly to a unitary, which we will denote by W . Note that $\text{Ad } W\pi_0(x) = \pi_0\beta(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$ and $W\Omega_0 = \Omega_0$.

As we have remarked before, the unitary flow $U_t \equiv e^{itH_0}$ implements α in π_0 , where $H_0 = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}} p(I)\pi_0(s_I e_n s_I^*)$. Since $\|U_t\pi_0(w_k)U_t^* - \pi_0(w_k)\| < 10\epsilon$ for $|t| \leq 1$, we obtain that

$$\|U_t W U_t^* - W\| \leq 10\epsilon, \quad t \in [-1, 1].$$

We also have that

$$\pi_0\beta^{-1}\alpha_t\beta = \text{Ad}(W^*U_t W U_t^*)\pi_0\alpha_t.$$

On the other hand, $\beta^{-1}\alpha_t\beta$ is obtained as the limit of $\text{Ad}(w_k^*\alpha_t(w_k))\alpha_t$, which implies that

$$\|\alpha_t - \beta^{-1}\alpha_t\beta\| \leq 20\epsilon, \quad t \in [-1, 1].$$

Hence there exists an α -cocycle u in $\mathcal{E}_n$ such that $\text{Ad } u_t\alpha_t = \beta^{-1}\alpha_t\beta$ and $\max_{t \in [-1, 1]} \|u_t - 1\|$ is at most of order of 400ϵ (see p. 296 of [5]). Combining the observation in the previous paragraph and noting that π_0 is irreducible,, this implies that

$$\pi_0(u_t) = c(t)W^*U_t W U_t^*$$

for some constant $c(t) \in \mathbf{T}$. Then it follows by simple computation that $c(t) = e^{ipt}$ for some $p \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus we know that $t \mapsto U_t W U_t^*$ is continuous in norm and that $W^*U_t W U_t^* \in \pi_0(\mathcal{E}_n)$. Since $Q\text{Ad } u_t\alpha_t = \text{Ad } Q(u_t)\alpha_t Q = \alpha_t Q$ on $\mathcal{E}_n$, we also have that $Q(u_t) \in \mathbf{C}1 \subset \mathcal{O}_n$, which implies that

$$W^*U_t W U_t^* \in \pi_0(\mathcal{J}_n + \mathbf{C}1).$$

Since any automorphism of $\mathcal{E}_n$ is weakly inner in π_0 , there is a unitary V on $\mathcal{H}_0$ such that $\text{Ad } V\pi_0 = \pi_0\beta^{-1}\alpha_{t_0}$. Since the vector state of $\mathcal{E}_n$ defined through Ω_0 is left invariant under by $\beta^{-1}\alpha_{t_0}$, we may define V by $V\pi_0(x)\Omega_0 = \pi_0(\beta^{-1}\alpha_{t_0}(x))\Omega_0$, $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$. Since $Q\beta^{-1}\alpha_{t_0} = Q$, we have that $[V, \pi_0(x)] \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ for $x \in \mathcal{E}_n$. Regarding $V \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)$, the multiplier algebra of $\mathcal{J}_n$ which identifies with $B(\mathcal{H}_0)$ through π_0 , and $\mathcal{E}_n \subset M(\mathcal{J}_n)$, we have that $\beta^{-1}\alpha_t\beta = \text{Ad}(VU_t V^* U_t^*)\alpha_t$. Since $\beta^{-1}\alpha_t\beta = \text{Ad}(W^*U_t W U_t^*)\alpha_t$ from above and $VU_t V^* U_t^* \Omega_0 = \Omega_0 = W^*U_t W U_t^* \Omega_0$, we have that

$$VU_t V^* U_t^* = W^*U_t W U_t^*, \quad t \in \mathbf{R},$$

which implies that $t \mapsto U_t V U_t^*$ is norm-continuous and

$$\|U_t V U_t^* - V\| = \|U_t W U_t^* - W\|.$$

In particular we have that

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} \|U_t V U_t^* - V\| \leq 10\epsilon.$$

Let us denote by $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ the C^* -subalgebra consisting of $x \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)$ such that $t \mapsto \alpha_t(x) = U_t x U_t^*$ is norm-continuous. Summing up the above we have shown:

Lemma 3.3 *Let $(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be a finite sequence in $\mathbf{R}$ and define a quasi-free flow α on $\mathcal{E}_n = C^*(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ by*

$$\alpha_t(s_j) = e^{ip_j t} s_j.$$

Suppose that $p_1, \dots, p_n$ generates $\mathbf{R}$ as a closed subsemigroup. (Hence the flow $\dot{\alpha}$ on the quotient $\mathcal{O}_n$ induced by α has the Rohlin property and each $\dot{\alpha}_t$ is α -invariantly asymptotically inner.)

Fix $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$. For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an automorphism β of $\mathcal{E}_n$, a sequence (w_k) in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{E}_n)$, and a unitary $V \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $t \mapsto V^ \alpha_t(V) \in \mathcal{J}_n + \mathbf{C}1$ is an α -cocycle,*

$$\begin{aligned} Q(V) &\in (\mathcal{O}_n)', \\ Q\beta &= Q\alpha_{t_0}, \\ \beta^{-1}\alpha_{t_0} &= \text{Ad } V, \\ \beta &= \lim_k \text{Ad } w_k, \\ \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(w_k) - w_k\| &< \epsilon, \\ \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(V) - V\| &< \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where Q denotes the quotient map of $M(\mathcal{J}_n)$ onto $M(\mathcal{J}_n)/\mathcal{J}_n$, which maps $\mathcal{E}_n$ onto $\mathcal{O}_n$.

To show that α_{t_0} is α -invariantly approximately inner, we have to approximate $\beta^{-1}\alpha_{t_0}$ by $\text{Ad } v$, where v is a unitary in $\mathcal{J}_n + 1$ which is almost α -invariant. We will use the following result whose proof we will postpone to the next section.

Lemma 3.4 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: Let $V \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ be a unitary such that $Q(V) \in (\mathcal{O}_n)'$ and*

$$\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(V) - V\| < \delta.$$

Then there exists a rectifiable path $(V_s, s \in [0, 1])$ in $\mathcal{U}(M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha)$ such that $V_0 = 1, V_1 = V$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda(Q(V_s)) - Q(V_s)\| &< \epsilon, \\ \sup_{s \in [0, 1]} \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(V_s) - V_s\| &< \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where λ is the unital endomorphism of $M(\mathcal{J}_n)/\mathcal{J}_n$ defined by

$$\lambda(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n Q(s_i) x Q(s_i)^*.$$

The following is a key lemma for the proof of Proposition 1.2.

Lemma 3.5 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: If V is a unitary in $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $\beta = \text{Ad } V$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{E}_n$, $Q(V) \in (\mathcal{O}_n)'$, and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(V) - V\| < \delta$, then there is a unitary v in $\mathcal{J}_n + 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\beta(s_i) - vs_i v^*\| &< \epsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(v) - v\| &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We define a non-unital endomorphism λ of $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ by $\lambda(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i x s_i^*$. Note that $Q\lambda = \lambda Q$, where the latter λ is the unital endomorphism defined in 3.4.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. We choose $\delta > 0$ so small that we find a continuous path $(V_s, s \in [0, 1])$ in $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $V_0 = V$, $V_1 = 1$, $\|\lambda(V_s) - V_s + \mathcal{J}_n\| < \epsilon$, and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(V_s) - V_s\| < \epsilon$.

Let $\epsilon' > 0$. We choose an increasing sequence (μ_k) in $[0, 1)$ such that $\mu_0 = 0$, $\lim_k \mu_k = 1$, and $\|V_{\mu_k} - V_{\mu_{k+1}}\| < \epsilon'$. We will denote V_{μ_k} by V_k below.

Note that $p_m = \sum_{|I| \leq m} s_I e_n^0 s_I^*$ is an α -invariant projection in $\mathcal{J}_n$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and that (p_m) forms an approximate identity for $\mathcal{J}_n$.

Since $V_s s_k V_s^* = V_s \lambda(V_s^*) s_k$ and $\|1 - V_s \lambda(V_s^*) + \mathcal{J}_n\| < \epsilon$, we have that $\|V_s s_k V_s^* - s_k + \mathcal{J}_n\| < \epsilon$. Since $\{V_s s_k V_s^* - s_k \mid s \in [0, 1]\}$ is compact, we have a projection $p \in \mathcal{J}_n$ such that $\|(V_s s_k V_s^* - s_k)(1 - p)\| < \epsilon$ and $\|(1 - p)(V_s s_k V_s^* - s_k)\| < \epsilon$ for $s \in [0, 1]$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$. We may suppose that $p = p_m$ for some m . From the convex combinations of (p_m) , we find an approximate unit (e_k) in $\mathcal{J}_n$ such that $e_0 = 0 \leq p \leq e_1$, $\alpha_t(e_k) = e_k$, $e_{k+1}e_k = e_k$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|[(e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2}, s_i]\| &< \epsilon' 2^{-k-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \|[(e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2}, V_j]\| &< \epsilon', \quad j \leq k+1, \\ \|[(e_k - e_k^2)^{1/2}, V_j]\| &< \epsilon', \quad j \leq k+1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $-1 \leq \sum_{k=0}^K (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2} x_k (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2} \leq 1$ for any K and any $x_k = x_k^*$ with $\|x_k\| \leq 1$, we can define

$$z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2} V_k (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2},$$

which converges in the strict topology in $M(\mathcal{J}_n)$ and has $\|z\| \leq 2$. Since $\|V_k - 1\| \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $z - 1 \in \mathcal{J}_n$. We claim that z is close to a unitary by writing

$$\begin{aligned} zz^* &= \sum_k (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2} V_k (e_{k+1} - e_k) V_k^* (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2} \\ &+ \sum_k (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2} V_k (e_{k+1} - e_{k+1}^2)^{1/2} V_{k+1}^* (e_{k+2} - e_{k+1})^{1/2} \\ &+ \sum_k (e_{k+2} - e_{k+1})^{1/2} V_{k+1} (e_{k+1} - e_{k+1}^2)^{1/2} V_k^* (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $(e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2}(e_{j+1} - e_j)^{1/2} = 0$ if $|k - j| > 1$ and $(e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2}(e_{k+2} - e_{k+1})^{1/2} = (e_{k+1} - e_{k+1}^2)^{1/2}$. By splitting out each summation into the sum over even integers and the sum over odd integers and noting that

$$\|V_k(e_{k+1} - e_k)V_k^* - (e_{k+1} - e_k)\| < 2\epsilon'$$

and

$$\|V_k(e_{k+1} - e_{k+1}^2)^{1/2}V_{k+1}^* - (e_{k+1} - e_{k+1}^2)^{1/2}\| < 2\epsilon',$$

we estimate

$$\|zz^* - 1\| < 2(2\epsilon' + 2\epsilon' + 2\epsilon') = 12\epsilon'.$$

Similarly we get that $\|zz^* - 1\| < 12\epsilon'$. Thus if ϵ' is sufficiently small, then $v = z|z^*z|^{-1/2}$ is a unitary in $\mathcal{J}_n + 1$ and satisfies that

$$\|v - z\| \leq \|v\|\|1 - |z^*z|^{1/2}\| < \epsilon'',$$

with $\epsilon'' \approx 6\epsilon'$.

Since

$$\|s_i z^* - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2} s_i V_k^* (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2}\| < \epsilon',$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} z s_i z^* &\approx \sum_k (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2} (e_{k+1} - e_k) V_k s_i V_k^* (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2} \\ &+ \sum_k (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2} (e_{k+1} - e_{k+1}^2)^{1/2} V_{k+1} s_i V_{k+1}^* (e_{k+2} - e_{k+1})^{1/2} \\ &+ \sum_k (e_{k+2} - e_{k+1})^{1/2} (e_{k+1} - e_{k+1}^2)^{1/2} V_k s_i V_k^* (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

with the norm difference less than $\|z\|\epsilon' + 12\epsilon' \leq 14\epsilon'$. By using $\|(V_k s_i V_k^* - s_i)(1 - p)\| < \epsilon$ etc. for all terms except for the $k = 0$ term of the first summation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} z s_i z^* &\approx e_1^{3/2} V s_i V^* e_1^{1/2} + \sum_{k \geq 1} (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{3/2} s_i (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2} \\ &+ \sum_{k \geq 0} (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2} (e_{k+1} - e_{k+1}^2)^{1/2} s_i (e_{k+2} - e_{k+1})^{1/2} \\ &+ \sum_{k \geq 0} (e_{k+2} - e_{k+1})^{1/2} (e_{k+1} - e_{k+1}^2)^{1/2} s_i (e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

with the norm difference less than $14\epsilon' + 6\epsilon$. Since $\|[V s_i V^*, e_1^{1/2}]\| < 3\epsilon'$ and $\|[(e_{k+1} - e_k)^{1/2}, s_i]\| < \epsilon' 2^{-k-1}$, we have that

$$z s_i z^* \approx e_1^2 V s_i V^* + (1 - e_1^2) s_i,$$

with the norm difference less than $14\epsilon' + 6\epsilon + 3\epsilon' + 3\epsilon' = 6\epsilon + 20\epsilon'$. Since $e_1^2 V s_i V^* + (1 - e_1^2) s_i - \beta(s_i) = (1 - e_1^2)(s_i - \beta(s_i))$, it follows that $\|z s_i z^* - \beta(s_i)\| < 7\epsilon + 20\epsilon'$, which implies that $\|v s_i v^* - \beta(s_i)\| < \epsilon''(\|z\| + 1) + 7\epsilon + 20\epsilon' \approx 7\epsilon + 32\epsilon'$.

On the other hand we have that $\|\alpha_t(z) - z\| \leq 2 \sup_k \|\alpha_t(V_k) - V_k\|$, which implies that $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(v) - v\| < 2\epsilon + 2\epsilon''$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 1.2

This follows by combining 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5.

Let $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then we choose $\delta > 0$ for ϵ as in 3.5. By 3.3 we have an automorphism β of $\mathcal{E}_n$ and a unitary $V \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $Q\beta = \alpha_{t_0}Q$ and $\beta^{-1}\alpha_{t_0} = \text{Ad } V$, $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(V) - V\| < \delta$, etc. Then by 3.5 we get a unitary $v \in \mathcal{J}_n + 1$ such that $\|\beta^{-1}\alpha_{t_0}(s_i) - v s_i v^*\| < \epsilon$ and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(v) - v\| < \epsilon$. Since $\beta = \lim_k \text{Ad } w_k$, we get that $\|\alpha_{t_0}(s_i) - w_k v s_i v^* w_k\| < \epsilon$ for a large k and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(w_k v) - w_k v\| < \epsilon + \delta$ from the properties imposed on (w_k) . Thus we can conclude that α_{t_0} is α -invariantly approximately inner.

4 Proof of Lemma 3.4

We recall that H_0 is a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}_0$ with $\text{Spec}(H_0) = \mathbf{R}$ and that we let $\alpha_t = \text{Ad } e^{itH_0}$ on $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$, where $M(\mathcal{J}_n)$ is identified with $B(\mathcal{H}_0)$ and $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ is the largest C^* -subalgebra of $M(\mathcal{J}_n)$ on which $t \mapsto \text{Ad } e^{itH_0}$ is strongly continuous. The following can be proved by adopting the arguments in [3, 16].

Lemma 4.1 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: If $Z \in \mathcal{U}(M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha)$ satisfies that $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(Z) - Z\| < \delta$, then there exists a rectifiable path $(Z_s, s \in [0, 1])$ in $\mathcal{U}(M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha)$ such that $Z_0 = 1, Z_1 = Z$,*

$$\sup_{s \in [0, 1]} \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(Z_s) - Z_s\| < \epsilon,$$

and the length of $(Z_s, s \in [0, 1])$ is less than $2\pi + \epsilon$.

Proof. We choose a non-negative C^∞ -function on $\mathbf{R}$ such that $\hat{f}(0) = 1$ and $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset (-\delta_0, \delta_0)$ for a small $\delta_0 > 0$ and modify Z by

$$X = \int f(t) \alpha_t(Z) dt \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$$

which is still close to Z , e.g., $\|X - Z\| < \mu$, where μ can be arbitrarily close to zero depending on δ . Let E be the spectral measure of H_0 and define, for any $k \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$E_k = E[2k\delta_0, 2(k+1)\delta_0) \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha,$$

which is a projection of infinite rank. Noting that the α -spectrum of X is contained in $(-\delta_0, \delta_0)$, we have, as in the proof of 4.1 of [16], that

$$\begin{aligned}
X E_k X^* &\leq E[(2k-1)\delta_0, (2k+3)\delta_0), \\
X E_k X^* - (X E_k X^*)^2 &\leq 2\mu \cdot X E_k X^* \leq 2\mu 1, \\
\text{Spec}(X E_k X^*) &\subset \{0\} \cup [1-2\mu, 1], \\
Y_k^+ - (Y_k^+)^2 &\leq 6\mu 1, \\
\text{Spec}(Y_k^+) &\subset [0, 6\mu'] \cup [1-6\mu', 1], \\
Y_k^- - (Y_k^-)^2 &\leq 6\mu, \\
\text{Spec}(Y_k^-) &\subset [0, 6\mu'] \cup [1-6\mu', 1],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu \approx \mu'$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_k^+ &= E[(2k+1)\delta_0, (2k+3)\delta_0) X E_k X^* E[(2k+1)\delta_0, (2k+3)\delta_0), \\
Y_k^- &= E[(2k-1)\delta_0, (2k+1)\delta_0) X E_k X^* E[(2k-1)\delta_0, (2k+1)\delta_0).
\end{aligned}$$

We define $F_k^\pm$ to be the spectral projection of $Y_k^\pm$ corresponding to $[1-6\mu', 1]$ and let F_k denote the projection $F_k^+ + F_k^-$. We note that these projections are of infinite rank, because $E(I)$ is a projection of infinite rank for any non-empty open subset I of $\mathbf{R}$, and that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_k^+ &\leq E[(2k+1)\delta_0, (2k+3)\delta_0), \\
F_k^- &\leq E[(2k-1)\delta_0, (2k+1)\delta_0), \\
\|F_k - X E_k X^*\| &< 14\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned}
G_k^+ &= E[(2k+1)\delta_0, (2k+3)\delta_0) - F_{k+1}^-, \\
G_k^- &= E[(2k-1)\delta_0, (2k+1)\delta_0) - F_{k-1}^+, \\
G_k &= G_k^- + G_k^+.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $G_k^\pm$ and G_k are projections of infinite rank and that

$$\|X E_k X^* - G_k\| < 34\mu.$$

By using the facts that $\sum_k E_k = 1$ and

$$\sum_k F_{2k} + \sum_k G_{2k-1} = 1,$$

we check that

$$W = \sum_k F_{2k} X E_{2k} + \sum_k G_{2k-1} X E_{2k-1},$$

is close to a unitary; we denote by V the unitary part of the polar decomposition of W . Since $\|\alpha_t(F_{2k}XE_{2k}) - F_{2k}XE_{2k}\| \leq \|\alpha_t(X) - X\| + 4\delta_0|t|$, $\|\alpha_t(G_{2k-1}XE_{2k-1}) - G_{2k-1}XE_{2k-1}\| \leq \|\alpha_t(X) - X\| + 4\delta_0|t|$, and

$$\|\alpha_t(W) - W\| \leq \sup_k \|\alpha_t(F_{2k}XE_{2k}) - F_{2k}XE_{2k}\| + \sup_k \|\alpha_t(G_{2k-1}XE_{2k-1}) - G_{2k-1}XE_{2k-1}\|,$$

we have that $W, V \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$. We estimate that $\|Z - V\| < 10\mu''$, where $\mu'' \approx \mu^{1/2}$. We should also note that

$$\begin{aligned} VE_{2k}V^* &= F_{2k} \leq E[(4k-1)\delta_0, (4k+3)\delta_0], \\ VE_{2k-1}V^* &= G_{2k-1} \leq E[(4k-3)\delta_0, (4k+1)\delta_0]. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that the α -spectrum of V is contained in $[-3\delta_0, 3\delta_0]$.

Since $\|VZ^* - 1\| < 10\mu''$, there is a self-adjoint $B \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $VZ^* = e^{iB}$ and $\|B\|$ is of the order $10\mu''$. Then the path $\gamma : [0, 1] \ni s \mapsto e^{isB}Z$ goes from Z to V of length $\|B\|$. Since $\|\alpha_t(e^{isB}Z) - e^{isB}Z\| \leq s\|\alpha_t(B) - B\| + \|\alpha_t(Z) - Z\|$, we have that $\max_s \|\alpha_t(\gamma_s) - \gamma_s\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\sup_s \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(\gamma_s) - \gamma_s\| \leq 2\|B\| + \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(Z) - Z\|,$$

which is arbitrarily small.

Then we find a rectifiable path $(\zeta_t, t \in [0, 1])$ in $\mathcal{U}(M(\mathcal{J}_n))$ such that ζ_t commutes with $E[(2k-1)\delta_0, (2k+1)\delta_0]$ for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}\zeta_1(F_{2k}^+) &= E[(4k+1)\delta_0, (4k+2)\delta_0], \\ \text{Ad}\zeta_1(F_{2k}^-) &= E[4k\delta_0, (4k+1)\delta_0], \end{aligned}$$

and the length of ζ is at most π . Then we get that

$$\text{Ad}(\zeta_1 V)E_{2k} = E_{2k}.$$

Since $\text{Ad}\zeta_1(G_{2k+1}^-) = E[(4k+2)\delta_0, (4k+3)\delta_0]$ etc., we also get that

$$\text{Ad}(\zeta_1 V)E_{2k+1} = E_{2k+1}.$$

Note that the α -spectrum of ζ_t is contained in $[-2\delta_0, 2\delta_0]$, which implies that $\zeta_t \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(\zeta_s) - \zeta_s\| \leq 2\delta_0$.

There is a rectifiable path $(\eta_t, t \in [0, 1])$ in $\mathcal{U}(M(\mathcal{J}_n))$ such that η_t commutes with $E[(2k-1)\delta_0, (2k+1)\delta_0]$ for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\eta_0 = 1$, $\eta_1 = (\zeta_1 V)^*$, and the length of η is at most π . Note also that the α -spectrum of η_t is contained in $[-2\delta_0, 2\delta_0]$, which implies that $\eta_t \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(\eta_s) - \eta_s\| \leq 2\delta_0$.

Combining these paths we get the conclusion. $\square$

Next we will prove another version of the above lemma; we will replace $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ by the C^* -tensor product $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ with the flow $\text{id} \otimes \alpha$, which will sometimes be denoted by α .

For the preparation we present the following two lemmas, which are just concerned with $B(\mathcal{H})$, the bounded operators on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, without a flow on it. We note that the C^* -tensor product $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$ identifies with the norm-continuous functions on $\mathbf{T}$ into $B(\mathcal{H})$.

Lemma 4.2 *Let E, F, P be projections in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $E(s), F(s), P(s)$ are of infinite rank, $P(s) = P(0)$ for $s \in \mathbf{T} = [0, 1] \setminus \{0, 1\}$, and $EP = 0 = FP$. Then there is a rectifiable path $(U_t, t \in [0, 1])$ in $\mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $U_0 = 1$, $\text{Ad } U_1(E) = F$, and the length of U is at most π . If furthermore $E(0) = F(0)$ at $0 \in \mathbf{T}$, then the condition $U_t(0) = 1, t \in [0, 1]$ can be imposed.*

Moreover if E_k, F_k, P_k are such a triple of projections in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\mathbf{T} \ni s \mapsto \bigoplus_k E_k(s)$ and $\mathbf{T} \ni s \mapsto \bigoplus_k F_k(s)$ are continuous in $\prod_{k=1}^\infty C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$, there are rectifiable paths $(U_t^k, t \in [0, 1])$ in $\mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}))$ as above such that $\mathbf{T} \ni s \mapsto \bigoplus_k U_t^k(s)$ is continuous and the length of $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto \bigoplus_k U_t^k$ is at most π .

Proof. We have expressed the base space $\mathbf{T}$ as $[0, 1] \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Since $t \mapsto E(t)$ is norm-continuous, there exists a norm-continuous $V : [0, 1] \mapsto \mathcal{U}(B(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $V(0) = 1$ and

$$\text{Ad } V(t)(E(0)) = E(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

More specifically we choose a finite number of points $t_0 = 1 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{m-1} < t_m = 1$ such that $\|E(s) - E(t)\| < 1/2$ for $s, t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We define, for $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$,

$$Z_t^i = E(t)E(t_{i-1}) + (1 - E(t))(1 - E(t_{i-1})).$$

Since $\|(Z_t^i)^* Z_t^i - 1\| < 1/2$ etc. the polar decomposition of Z_t^i gives a unitary $V_t^i = Z_t^i |Z_t^i|^{-1}$. Then we define for $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$

$$V_t = V_t^i V_{t_{i-1}}^{i-1} V_{t_{i-2}}^{i-2} \dots V_{t_1}^1.$$

Since $\text{Ad } V_t^i(E(t_{i-1})) = E(t)$, we get that $\text{Ad } V(t)(E(0)) = E(t)$. It is obvious that $t \mapsto V_t$ is norm-continuous. But more is true.

Note that $\|V_t^i - V_s^i\| \leq 2^{1/2} \|E(t) - E(s)\| + \| |Z_t^i|^{-1} - |Z_s^i|^{-1} \|$ for $s, t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of self-adjoint elements $h \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\text{Spec}(h) \subset [1/2, 1]$; then $|Z_t^i|^2 = (Z_t^i)^* Z_t^i \in \mathcal{S}$. The map $\mathcal{S} \ni h \mapsto h^{-1/2}$ is uniformly continuous in $h \in \mathcal{S}$. Since $\| |Z_t^i|^2 - |Z_s^i|^2 \| \leq \|E(t) - E(s)\|$, the continuity of $t \mapsto V_t$ only depends on the continuity of $t \mapsto E(t)$ on each $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$, i.e., there is a non-decreasing continuous function φ_1 on $[0, 1]$ such that $\varphi_1(0) = 0$ and $\|V_s - V_t\| \leq \varphi_1(\|E(s) - E(t)\|)$ for $s, t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ with all i . Since $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto E(t)$ is uniformly continuous, there is a non-decreasing continuous function φ_2 on $[0, 1]$ into $[0, 1]$ such that $\varphi_2(0) = 0$ and $\|E(s) - E(t)\| \leq \varphi_2(|s - t|)$. Let $\varphi = \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2$. Combining these estimates, we have that $\|V_s - V_t\| \leq \varphi(|s - t|)$ for $s, t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$.

Let $\Delta = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{t_i - t_{i-1}\}$ and assume, by replacing φ by a bigger one if necessary, that $\varphi(s) + \varphi(t) \leq \varphi(s + t)$ for $s, t > 0$ with $s + t \leq 1$. If $s, t \in [0, 1]$ satisfies that

$0 < s - t < 2\Delta$, then either $t_{i-1} < t < t_i < s < t_{i+1}$ or $t_{i-1} \leq t < s \leq t_i$ for some i . In the former case $\|V_s - V_t\| \leq \|V_s - V_{t_i}\| + \|V_{t_i} - V_t\| \leq \varphi_1(\|E(s) - E(t_i)\|) + \varphi_1(\|E(t_i) - E(t)\|) \leq \varphi(s - t_i) + \varphi(t_i - t) \leq \varphi(s - t)$. With the same estimate for the latter case we have that $\|V_s - V_t\| \leq \varphi(|s - t|)$ if $|s - t| < 2\Delta$. By redefining φ on $[2\Delta, 1]$, we may suppose that $\|V_s - V_t\| \leq \varphi(|s - t|)$ is valid for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$.

We define a function F on $\mathbf{T} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ by $F(e^{it}) = t$ for $t \in (-\pi, \pi]$ and let $H = F(V(1))$. Since $[V(1), E(0)] = 0$, we have that $[H, E(0)] = 0$. We replace V by $V' : t \mapsto V_t e^{-itH}$. Then we get that $V'(1) = 1 = V'(0)$, $\text{Ad } V'(t)(E(0)) = E(t)$, and the continuity of $t \mapsto V'_t$ depends only on the continuity of $t \mapsto E(t)$. If we replace φ by $s \mapsto \varphi(s) + \pi s$, then we have that $\|V'(s) - V'(t)\| \leq \varphi(|s - t|)$.

In this way we construct $V, W \in \mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B((1 - P(0))\mathcal{H}))$, which satisfies that $V(1 \otimes E(0))V^* = E$, $V(0) = 1$, $W(1 \otimes F(0))W^* = F$, and $W(0) = 1$. Moreover we may assume that there is a non-decreasing continuous function φ on $[0, 1]$ such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and

$$\|V(s) - V(t)\| \leq \varphi(|s - t|), \quad \|W(s) - W(t)\| \leq \varphi(|s - t|).$$

Note that φ depends only on the choice of t_i 's and the continuity of $s \mapsto E(s)$ and of $s \mapsto F(s)$.

If $E(0) = F(0)$, the unitary $Z \equiv WV^*$ in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B((1 - P(0))\mathcal{H})$ satisfies that $\text{Ad}(Z)(E) = F$ and $Z(0) = 1 - P(0)$. Let $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $Y^*Y = P(0)$ and $YY^* = 1 - P(0)$ and let

$$X_t = \cos(\pi t/2) + (Y - Y^*) \sin(\pi t/2), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then X_t is a unitary on $\mathcal{H}$. The path $U : t \mapsto (Z + P)(1 \otimes X_t)(Z^* + P)(1 \otimes X_t^*)$ in $\mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}))$ satisfies that $U_0 = 1$, $U_1 = Z + Y^*Z^*Y$, $U_t(0) = 1$, and

$$\|U_{t_1} - U_{t_2}\| \leq 2\|X_{t_1} - X_{t_2}\| \leq \pi|t_1 - t_2|.$$

Moreover U_t satisfies that

$$\|U_t(s_1) - U_t(s_2)\| \leq 2\varphi(|s_1 - s_2|).$$

Since $\text{Ad } U_1(E) = F$, this concludes the proof for the case $E(0) = F(0)$.

In the case $E(0) \neq F(0)$ we choose a unitary T on $(1 - P(0))\mathcal{H}$ such that $TE(0)T^* = F(0)$. Then we can proceed as above with $Z = W(1 \otimes T)V^*$.

Suppose that E_k, F_k, P_k are given as in the statement. Let $E_\infty = \bigoplus_k E_k$ and $F_\infty = \bigoplus_k F_k$ in $\Pi_{k=1}^\infty C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$. Then we choose a finite number of points $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m = 1$ such that $\|E_\infty(s) - E_\infty(t)\| < 1/2$ and $\|F_\infty(s) - F_\infty(t)\| < 1/2$ for $s, t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ with all i . By using these points in $[0, 1]$ we construct a path $U^k : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}))$ as above. Then there is a non-decreasing continuous function φ on $[0, 1]$ such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\|U_t^k(s_1) - U_t^k(s_2)\| \leq 2\varphi(|s_1 - s_2|)$. Note that we can define φ based on the functions $s \mapsto E_\infty(s)$ and $s \mapsto F_\infty(s)$, i.e., φ is independent of k . Hence it follows that $\mathbf{T} \ni s \mapsto U_t^\infty(s) \equiv \bigoplus_k U_t^k(s)$ is continuous for each $t \in [0, 1]$. Since

$\|U_{t_1}^k - U_{t_2}^k\| \leq \pi|t_1 - t_2|$, we also get that $\|U_{t_1}^\infty - U_{t_2}^\infty\| \leq \pi|t_1 - t_2|$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 4.3 *Let $U \in \mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}))$. If there is a projection $E \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that E and $1 - E$ are of infinite rank and $[U, 1 \otimes E] = 0$, then there is a rectifiable path $(V_t, t \in [0, 1])$ in $\mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $V_0 = 1$, $V_1 = U$, $\|V_t(s_1) - V_t(s_2)\| \leq 6\|U(s_1) - U(s_2)\|$, and the length of V is at most 3π .*

Proof. Let $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a partial isometry such that $Y^*Y = E$ and $YY^* = 1 - E$ and let $X_t = \cos(\pi t/2) + (Y - Y^*) \sin(\pi t/2)$ as in the proof of the previous lemma. Then the map $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto (U(1 \otimes E) + 1 \otimes (1 - E))(1 \otimes X_t)(U(1 \otimes (1 - E)) + 1 \otimes E)(1 \otimes X_t^*)$ moves from U into $U_1 \equiv U(1 \otimes E)(1 \otimes Y)U(1 \otimes Y^*) + 1 \otimes (1 - E)$. Note that the length of this path is at most π .

Let $W = U(1 \otimes E)(1 \otimes Y^*)U(1 \otimes Y)$, which is a unitary in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(E\mathcal{H})$. Since $1 - E$ is of infinite rank, letting $\mathcal{H}_k = E\mathcal{H}$ for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, we identify $\mathcal{H}$ with $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{H}_k$ and $E\mathcal{H}$ with $\mathcal{H}_0$. Thus we regard $U_1(s)$ as

$$\cdots \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus W(s) \oplus 1 \oplus \cdots,$$

where $W(s)$ is on $\mathcal{H}_0$. Let $W_r = \bigoplus_{k \leq 0} 1 \oplus \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} W \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\bigoplus_k \mathcal{H}_k)$. Let H be a self-adjoint operator on $\bigoplus_k \mathcal{H}_k$ such that $\text{Spec}(H) = [-\pi, \pi]$, and e^{iH} induces the shift to right. Then the map $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto U_1 W_r (1 \otimes e^{-itH}) W_r^* (1 \otimes e^{itH})$ moves from U_1 into 1 . The length of this path is at most 2π .

Combining these two paths we get the desired one $(V_t, t \in [0, 1])$. Since $\|W(s_1) - W(s_2)\| \leq 2\|U(s_1) - U(s_2)\|$, we get $\|V_t(s_1) - V_t(s_2)\| \leq 6\|U(s_1) - U(s_2)\|$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 4.4 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: If $Z \in \mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha)$ satisfies that $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(Z) - Z\| < \delta$, then there exists a rectifiable path $(Z_s, s \in [0, 1])$ in $\mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha)$ such that $Z_0 = 1$, $Z_1 = Z$,*

$$\max_{s \in [0, 1]} \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(Z_s) - Z_s\| < \epsilon,$$

and the length of $(Z_s, s \in [0, 1])$ is less than $4\pi + \epsilon$. Furthermore if $Z(0) = 1$ at $0 \in \mathbf{T}$, then the path is chosen to satisfy that $Z_s(0) = 1$ for $s \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. We will prove this result for $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ mostly following the proof of Lemma 4.1 for $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$, by using Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, where the counterparts for $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ are trivial. We will indicate below how to use 4.2 and 4.3 in the proof of Lemma 4.1.

We will apply 4.2 when we construct the unitary path ζ in the proof of 4.1.

More explicitly we define $F_k^\pm$ as in the proof of 4.1, which entails that $F_k^\pm \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F_k^+ &\leq 1 \otimes E[(2k+1)\delta_0, (2k+3)\delta_0), \\ F_{2k}^- &\leq 1 \otimes E[(2k-1)\delta_0, (2k+1)\delta_0). \end{aligned}$$

With $\mathcal{H} = E[(2k-1)\delta_0, (2k+1)\delta_0)\mathcal{H}_0$, we then construct a unitary path ζ^k in each $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$, where $B(\mathcal{H})$ equals

$$E[(2k-1)\delta_0, (2k+1)\delta_0)M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha E[(2k-1)\delta_0, (2k+1)\delta_0).$$

For $\mathcal{H} = E[(4k+1)\delta_0, (4k+3)\delta_0)\mathcal{H}_0$, we have to find a path ζ^{2k+1} in $\mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $\zeta_0^{2k+1} = 1$,

$$\text{Ad } \zeta_1^{2k+1}(F_{2k}^+) = 1 \otimes E[(4k+1)\delta_0, (4k+2)\delta_0),$$

and

$$\|\zeta_{t_1}^{2k+1} - \zeta_{t_2}^{2k+1}\| \leq \pi|t_1 - t_2|.$$

We can apply 4.2 because $1 \otimes E[(4k+3)\delta_0 - \delta', (4k+3)\delta_0)$ is of infinite rank and orthogonal to F_{2k}^+ and $1 \otimes E[(4k+1)\delta_0, (4k+2)\delta_0)$ for a small $\delta' > 0$.

For $\mathcal{H} = E[(4k-1)\delta_0, (4k+1)\delta_0)\mathcal{H}_0$, we find a path ζ^{2k} in $\mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $\zeta_0^{2k} = 1$, $\text{Ad } \zeta^{2k}(F_{2k}^-) = 1 \otimes E[4k\delta_0, (4k+1)\delta_0)$, and $\|\zeta_{t_1}^{2k} - \zeta_{t_2}^{2k}\| \leq \pi|t_1 - t_2|$.

Note that $\mathbf{T} \ni s \mapsto Y_k(s)$ is equi-continuous in $k \in \mathbf{Z}$; see the definition of Y_k^+ in the proof of 4.1. Since the spectrum of F_k^+ is contained in $[0, 6\mu'] \cup [1 - 6\mu', 1]$ and F_k^+ is the spectral projection of Y_k^+ corresponding to $[1 - 6\mu', 1]$, it follows that $\mathbf{T} \ni s \mapsto F_k^+(s)$ is equi-continuous in k . Similarly we get that $\mathbf{T} \ni s \mapsto F_k^-(s)$ is equi-continuous in k . By 4.2 we can choose these paths (ζ^k) such that $\mathbf{T} \ni s \mapsto \bigoplus \zeta_t^k(s)$ is continuous for each $t \in [0, 1]$, which implies that $\zeta_t \equiv \sum_k \zeta_t^k \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)$. Since ζ_t commutes with $E[(2k-1)\delta_0, (2k+1)\delta_0)$, it follows that $\zeta_t \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ and the α -spectrum of ζ_t is contained in $[-2\delta_0, 2\delta_0]$. Note that $(\zeta_t, t \in [0, 1])$ has length of at most π .

When we construct the path η , we will use Lemma 4.3.

More explicitly $\zeta_1 V$ commutes with $1 \otimes E_k$. We apply Lemma 4.3 and find a path η^k in $\mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes B((E_{2k} + E_{2k+1})\mathcal{H}_0))$ which connects $\zeta_1 V(E_{2k} + E_{2k+1})$ with $E_{2k} + E_{2k+1}$ for each k . Since $\|\eta_t^k(s_1) - \eta_t^k(s_2)\| \leq 6\|\zeta_1(s_1)V(s_1) - \zeta_1(s_2)V(s_2)\|$, we have that $\mathbf{T} \ni s \mapsto \eta_t(s) \equiv \sum_k \eta_t^k(s)$ is continuous, i.e., $\eta_t \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)$. Note that the length of $(\eta_t, t \in [0, 1])$ is at most 3π and that the α -spectrum of η_t is contained in $[-4\delta_0, 4\delta_0]$. In this way we get the conclusion. $\square$

We recall that the flow α on $\mathcal{E}_n \subset M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ induces a flow on the quotient $\mathcal{O}_n \subset M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n$, which we will also denote by α . By using $Q(s_i) \in \mathcal{O}_n$ we define a unital endomorphism λ of $\mathcal{O}_n$ by $\lambda(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n Q(s_i)xQ(s_i)^*$. We know that λ has the Rohlin property (as a version for a single endomorphism or automorphism); see [26, 12, 14]. But we have more:

Lemma 4.5 *For any $N \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there are n^N projections e_i in $\mathcal{O}_n$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n^N - 1$ such that $e_i \in D(\delta_\alpha)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{2^N-1} e_i &= 1, \\ \|\delta_\alpha(e_i)\| &< \epsilon, \\ \max_i \|\lambda(e_i) - e_{i+1}\| &< \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

with $F_{n^N} = F_0$.

Proof. Define an action γ of $\mathbf{T}^n$ on $\mathcal{O}_n$ by $\gamma_z(s_k) = z_k s_k$ for $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{T}^n$. We embed $\mathbf{T}$ into $\mathbf{T}^n$ by $z \mapsto (z, z, \dots, z)$. Then the fixed point algebra of $\mathcal{O}_n$ under $\gamma|_{\mathbf{T}}$ is the closed linear span of $Q(s_I s_J^*)$, $|I| = |J|$, where $I, J \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^*$ and is isomorphic to the UHF algebra of type n^∞ . We will denote it by UHF_n . Then the restriction of λ onto UHF_n is the one-sided shift and is known to have the Rohlin property.

Note that $\mathcal{O}_n^\gamma \subset \text{UHF}_n$, $\mathcal{O}_n^\gamma \subset \mathcal{O}_n^\alpha$, and by [19] that $\lambda|_{\mathcal{O}_n^\gamma}$ has the so-called one-cocycle property. (Since $\mathcal{O}_n^\gamma$ has n distinct characters, $\lambda|_{\mathcal{O}_n^\gamma}$ cannot have the Rohlin property but has an approximate Rohlin property.) In particular for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$ we have mutually orthogonal projections f_i^k , $i = 0, \dots, M-1$ in $\mathcal{O}_n^\gamma$, with $M = n^N$, such that

$$\|\lambda(f_i^k) - f_{i+1}^k\| < 1/k$$

with $f_M^k = f_0$ and $[f_0^k] = [1]$ in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_n) = \mathbf{Z}/(n-1)\mathbf{Z}$. Let $e^k = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} f_i^k \in \mathcal{O}_n^\gamma$, which is an α -invariant projection. Since $\|\lambda(e^k) - e^k\| < M/k$, the sequence (e^k) forms a central sequence in $A = \mathcal{O}_n$. Hence (e^k) defines a projection E in $(A' \cap A_\alpha^\omega)^\alpha$, where ω is an ultrafilter on $\mathbf{N}$ and $(A' \cap A_\alpha^\omega)^\alpha$ is the α -fixed point algebra of the central sequence algebra divided by the ideal vanishing at ω . Since $[1] = [e^k]$ in $K_0(A) = \mathbf{Z}/(n-1)\mathbf{Z}$, we have that $[1] = [E]$ in $K_0(A' \cap A^\omega)$ [20]. (This is not entirely trivial; we use the fact that $K_1(A) = 0$ for $A = \mathcal{O}_n$.)

By [18, 20] we have that $K_0((A \cap A_\alpha^\omega)^\alpha) = K_0(A' \cap A^\omega)$. Since $1, E \in (A' \cap A_\alpha^\omega)^\alpha$, we have a $W \in (A' \cap A_\alpha^\omega)^\alpha$ such that $WW^* = E$ and $W^*W = 1$. Suppose that (w_k) represent W ; then it follows that $\lim_\omega \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(w_k) - w_k\| = 0$, $\lim_\omega \|w_k w_k^* - e^k\| = 0$, and $\lim_\omega \|w_k^* w_k - 1\| = 0$. Thus we can make an isometry w in $A = \mathcal{O}_n$ from w_k for some k with $\epsilon/3 > 1/k$ such that $\|\lambda(w) - w\| < \epsilon/3$, $w \in D(\delta_\alpha)$, $\|\delta_\alpha(w)\| < \epsilon/2$, $ww^* = e^k$, and $w^*w = 1$. We can take the projections $e_i = w^* f_i^k w$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$ because then $\sum_i e_i = 1$,

$$\|\lambda(e_i) - e_{i+1}\| < \epsilon$$

and so on. □

Lemma 4.6 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: Let H_σ be a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$ for $\sigma = 1, 2$ such that $\dim(\mathcal{H}_1) =$*

$\dim(\mathcal{H}_2) < \infty$. If there is a unitary operator W from $\mathcal{H}_1$ onto $\mathcal{H}_2$ such that

$$\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|e^{itH_2} W e^{-itH_1} - W\| < \delta,$$

then it follows that $|\lambda_i^{(1)} - \lambda_i^{(2)}| < \epsilon$ for all i , where $(\lambda_i^{(\sigma)})$ is the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of H_σ (each repeated as often as its multiplicity) for $\sigma = 1, 2$.

Proof. Let f be a non-negative integrable continuous function on $\mathbf{R}$ such that $\hat{f}(0) = 1$ and $\text{supp}(\hat{f}) \subset [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$, where $\hat{f}(p) = \int f(t) e^{-ipt} dt$. We choose a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ so that $W_f = \int f(t) e^{itH_2} W e^{-itH_1} dt$ is close to W and so is invertible for W satisfying the condition in the lemma. Then it follows that for any finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of the eigenvalues of H_1 the number of eigenvalues λ of H_2 with $|\lambda - \mu| < \epsilon$ for some $\mu \in \mathcal{F}$ is greater than or equal to the number of elements of $\mathcal{F}$. (Because if L is the linear subspace spanned by eigenvectors for H_1 whose eigenvalues are in $\mathcal{F}$, then the linear subspace $W_f L$ is contained in the linear subspace L' spanned by eigenvectors for H_2 whose eigenvalues are in $\{\lambda \mid \exists \mu \in \mathcal{F} \text{ } |\lambda - \mu| < \epsilon\}$. Since W_f is invertible, the dimension of L' must be no less than the dimension of L .) This implies, by the matching theorem, that there is a bijection ϕ from the eigenvalues of H_1 to those of H_2 such that $|\phi(\lambda) - \lambda| < \epsilon$ for any eigenvalue λ of H_1 . This implies the above conclusion. $\square$

Lemma 4.7 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: If $v \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n$ is a unitary such that $v(0) = 1$ at $0 \in \mathbf{T} = [0, 1] / \{0, 1\}$ and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(v) - v\| < \delta$, then there is a unitary $Z \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $Q(Z) = v$, $Z(0) = 1$, and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(Z) - Z\| < \epsilon$.*

Proof. Regarding v as a continuous function from $[0, 1]$ into $\mathcal{U}(M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n)$, we can find a continuous function V from $[0, 1]$ into $\mathcal{U}(M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha)$ such that $V(0) = 1$ and $Q(V(s)) = v(s)$ for $s \in [0, 1]$. Since $V(1) \in 1 + \mathcal{J}_n$ and $\mathcal{U}(1 + \mathcal{J}_n)$ is connected, we may suppose that $V(1) = 1$, i.e., we have a unitary $V \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $V(0) = 1$ and $Q(V) = v$. If $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(v) - v\| < \delta$, then we have that $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(V(s)) - V(s) + \mathcal{J}_n\| < \delta$ for all $s \in \mathbf{T}$. Thus we may start with such a unitary $V \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ instead of $v \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n$.

Let (p_k) be an increasing sequence of projections in $\mathcal{J}_n$ such that $x p_k \rightarrow x$ for any $x \in \mathcal{J}_n$ and $\alpha_t(p_k) = p_k$ for all k . (Note that $\alpha_t = \text{Ad } e^{iH_0 t}$ on $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ and H_0 is diagonal.)

Since $\mathbf{T} \times [-1, 1] \ni (s, t) \mapsto \alpha_t(V(s)) - V(s) \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ is norm-continuous, the range $\{\alpha_t(V(s)) - V(s) \mid s \in \mathbf{T}, t \in [-1, 1]\}$ is compact. Suppose that $\|\alpha_t(V(s)) - V(s) + \mathcal{J}_n\| < \delta$ for all $(s, t) \in \mathbf{T} \times [-1, 1]$ for a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Then there is a $p = p_k$ such that

$$\|(\alpha_t(V(s)) - V(s))(1 - p)\| < \delta$$

for all $(s, t) \in \mathbf{T} \times [-1, 1]$.

Let $P(s) = V(s)pV(s)^*$ for $s \in \mathbf{T}$. Then $s \mapsto P(s)$ defines a projection P in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes \mathcal{J}_n$ such that

$$\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(P) - P\| < 2\delta.$$

Hence, for any $\epsilon' > 0$ by choosing a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, we may suppose that there is a unitary $U \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes (\mathcal{J}_n + \mathbf{C}1)$ and a self-adjoint $b \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes \mathcal{J}_n$ such that $\|U - 1\| < \epsilon'$, $\|b\| < \epsilon'$, and $(\text{id} \otimes \delta_\alpha + \text{ad } ib)(UPU^*) = 0$. We may suppose that $U(0) = 1$ and $b(0) = 0$ since $P(0) = p$ is α -invariant. Let $P' = UPU^* = UV(1 \otimes p)V^*U^*$, which implies that $P'(0) = p$. Since $H_0 + b(s)$ commutes with $P'(s)$, we can compute $\text{Spec}((H_0 + b(s))P'(s))$. Let $E_0 = \min_{s \in \mathbf{T}} \min \text{Spec}((H_0 + b(s))P'(s))$ and let $E_1 = \max_{s \in \mathbf{T}} \max \text{Spec}((H_0 + b(s))P'(s))$.

We find a projection $q \in \mathcal{J}_n$ such that $q \leq 1 - p$, $[H_0, q] = 0$, $\text{Spec}(H_0q) \subset [E_0, E_1]$, $\text{rank}(q) \gg \text{rank}(p)$, and the eigenvalues of H_0q are almost equally distributed in $[E_0, E_1]$. By the last condition we should have, in particular, the following: If $(\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\text{rank}(q)}$ is the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of H_0q (each of which is repeated as its multiplicity indicates), we get $|\lambda_k - \lambda_\ell| < \epsilon$ for any k and ℓ with $|k - \ell| \leq \text{rank}(p)$.

Let $Q = V(1 \otimes q)V^*$, which satisfies that $Q(0) = q$ and

$$\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(Q) - Q\| < 2\delta.$$

Since we can choose the projection q so that $\|(1 \otimes q)P'\| \approx 0$ and $\|QP'\| \approx 0$ as precisely as we like, we may suppose that the above $U \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes (\mathcal{J}_n + \mathbf{C}1)$ and $b \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes \mathcal{J}_n$ are chosen to satisfy that $(1 \otimes (\delta_\alpha + \text{ad } ib))(UQU^*) = 0$ in addition, by keeping the original relation $P' = UPU^*$. Note, for each $s \in \mathbf{T}$, that $U(s)V(s)q$ is a partial isometry from $q\mathcal{H}_0$ onto $U(s)V(s)q\mathcal{H}_0$ and that

$$\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|e^{it(H_0+b(s))}U(s)V(s)qe^{-itH_0} - U(s)V(s)q\| < \delta + 3\epsilon',$$

where we have used that $\|b\| < \epsilon'$, $\|U - 1\| < \epsilon'$, and

$$\|e^{itH_0}V(s)e^{-itH_0}q - V(s)q\| < \delta, \quad t \in [-1, 1].$$

By the previous lemma, if (λ_i) is the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $H_0q = H_0q_0$ and (μ_i) is the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $(H_0 + b(s))q_s$ with $q_s = U(s)V(s)qV(s)^*U(s)^*$, it follows, by choosing sufficiently small δ and ϵ' , that $|\lambda_i - \mu_i| < \epsilon$ for all i .

If S_r denotes the set of eigenvalues of $H_0r = rH_0$ with r a projection, then $S_{p+q} = S_p \cup S_q$ (which is a disjoint union since we count the multiplicity). We have assumed that the rank of q is much larger than the rank of p ; hence the cardinality of S_q is much larger than that of S_p . We have also assumed that S_q overwhelms S_p ; if we align S_{p+q} in the increasing order, the difference of a pair of values which differ by the rank of p in this order is at most ϵ .

Note that $S_{p+q}(s) = S_p(s) \cup S_q(s)$ for each $s \in \mathbf{T}$, where $S_r(s)$ is the set of eigenvalues of $(H_0 + b(s))U(s)V(s)rV(s)^*U(s)^*$. Since $S_q(s)$ is close to $S_q = S_q(0)$ (as $\|b(s)\| <$

$\epsilon' \ll \epsilon$) and S_q overwhelms $S_p(s)$, we can conclude the following: If (λ_i) is the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $H_0(p+q)$ and $(\lambda_i^{(s)})$ is the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $(H_0 + b(s))U(s)V(s)(p+q)V(s)^*U(s)^*$, then $|\lambda_i - \lambda_i^{(s)}| < 2\epsilon$ for all i .

There are continuous functions (f_i) on $\mathbf{T}$ such that

$$f_i(s) \in \text{Spec}((1 \otimes H_0 + b(s))\text{Ad}(U(s)V(s))(p+q))$$

for all $s \in \mathbf{T}$, $f_i \leq f_{i+1}$, and $(f_i(s)) = (\lambda_i^{(s)})$ as sequences. By perturbing $1 \otimes H_0 + b$ restricted to $UV(1 \otimes (p+q))V^*U^*$ slightly, we may suppose that there are projections $p_i \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes \mathcal{J}_n$ such that $(1 \otimes H_0 + b)(UV(1 \otimes (p+q))V^*U^*) = \sum_i f_i p_i$ (see [1]). By a further perturbation up to ϵ , we may suppose that $f_i(s) = \lambda_i$, $s \in \mathbf{T}$ for all i . By using this, we can construct a partial isometry $W \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes \mathcal{J}_n$ such that $W^*W = 1 \otimes (p+q)$, $WW^* = UV(1 \otimes (p+q))V^*U^*$, and $e^{it(1 \otimes H_0 + b)}W e^{-itH_0} = W$ for all t , where b has now the estimate $\|b\| < 2\epsilon$. Since $U(0)V(0)(p+q)V(0)^*U(0)^* = p+q$, we have that $W(0) = p+q$. Then it follows that U^*W is a partial isometry from $1 \otimes (p+q)$ to $P+Q = V(1 \otimes (p+q))V^*$ with $(U^*W)(0) = p+q$ and that

$$\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|e^{it(1 \otimes H_0)}U^*W e^{-it(1 \otimes H_0)} - U^*W\| \leq 2\|U - 1\| + 2\|b\|.$$

Thus we can set $Z = V(1 - 1 \otimes (p+q)) + U^*W$, which is the desired unitary in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$. $\square$

Lemma 4.8 *If e is a projection in $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha/\mathcal{J}_n \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$, there is a projection $E \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ such that $Q(E) = e$.*

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 3.1 we can choose $B \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ such that $Q(B) = e$ and $B^* = B$. Since $Q(B)$ is a projection, the essential spectrum of B is $\{0, 1\}$ (except for the trivial cases), i.e., $\text{Spec}(B)$ has a gap between 0 and 1. We can define a projection E from B by a C^∞ -functional calculus such that $E \in D(\delta_\alpha)$ and $Q(E) = e$. $\square$

When $e_0 \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha/\mathcal{J}_n \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ is a projection such that $\|\delta_\alpha(e_0)\|$ is small, we set $h = -i(\delta_\alpha(e_0)e_0 - e_0\delta_\alpha(e_0)) \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha/\mathcal{J}_n$. There is an $H \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $H^* = H$, $Q(H) = h$, and $\|H\| = \|h\| = \|\delta_\alpha(e_0)\|$. If $E_0 \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ is a projection such that $Q(E_0) = e_0$ as in the above lemma, then we have that $[H_0 - H, E_0] \in \mathcal{J}_n$. Hence, setting $K = -i((\delta_\alpha - \text{ad } iH)(E_0)E_0 - E_0(\delta_\alpha - \text{ad } iH)(E_0)) \in \mathcal{J}_n$, we have that $[H_0 - H - K, E_0] = 0$. Thus we can talk about the essential spectrum of $(H_0 - H - K)E_0$, which is independent of particular choice of H and E_0 and may be obtained as follows.

We define a homomorphism ϕ of $C_0(\mathbf{R})$ into $e_0(M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha/\mathcal{J}_n)e_0$ by

$$\phi(f) = Q(f(H_0 - H - K)E_0), \quad f \in C_0(\mathbf{R}).$$

Then the kernel of ϕ is identified with $\{f \in C_0(\mathbf{R}) \mid f|_S = 0\}$ for some closed subset S of $\mathbf{R}$. The essential spectrum of $(H_0 - H - K)E_0$ is equal to S .

If the above e_0 belongs to $\mathcal{O}_n$, then $h \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and $H \in \mathcal{E}_n$. The above map ϕ can be regarded as mapping $C_0(\mathbf{R})$ into the crossed product $\mathcal{O}_n \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$, which is known to be simple. (The C^* -algebra generated by $\mathcal{E}_n$ and $f(H_0)$, $f \in C_0(\mathbf{R})$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{E}_n \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$. The quotient by the maximal ideal $\mathcal{J}_n \times_\alpha \mathbf{R} \cong \mathcal{J}_n \otimes C_0(\mathbf{R})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_n \times_\alpha \mathbf{R}$.) More precisely, ϕ is a homomorphism of $C_0(\mathbf{R})$ into $e_0(\mathcal{O}_n \times \mathbf{R})e_0 = e_0\mathcal{O}_ne_0 \times_{\alpha'} \mathbf{R}$ sending f into $\lambda(f)$, where α' is the flow on $e_0\mathcal{O}_ne_0$ generated by $(\delta_\alpha - \text{ad } ih)|_{e_0\mathcal{O}_ne_0}$ and λ is the canonical unitary group implementing α' in $M(e_0\mathcal{O}_ne_0 \times_{\alpha'} \mathbf{R})$. Hence if e_0 is a non-zero projection in $\mathcal{O}_n$, the essential spectrum of $(H_0 - H - K)E_0$ is always $\mathbf{R}$.

The following is a version of Lemma 4.4 for $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha/\mathcal{J}_n$ in place of $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$.

Lemma 4.9 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: If $e \in \mathcal{O}_n \cap D(\delta_\alpha) \subset M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha/\mathcal{J}_n$ is a projection and $u \in (C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha/\mathcal{J}_n) \cap D(\text{id} \otimes \delta_\alpha)$ is a partial unitary such that $u^*u = 1 \otimes e = uu^*$, $\|(\text{id} \otimes \delta_\alpha)u\| < \delta/2$, and $u(0) = e$ at $0 \in \mathbf{T}$, then there is a rectifiable path $(w_s, s \in [0, 1])$ of partial unitaries in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha/\mathcal{J}_n$ such that $w_s^*w_s = 1 \otimes e = w_sw_s^*$, $w_0 = 1 \otimes e$, $w_1 = u$, $w_s(0) = e$, $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(w_s) - w_s\| < \epsilon$, and the length of (w_s) is less than $4\pi + \epsilon$.*

Proof. We will decide $\delta > 0$ later and suppose that u is given as above.

We have that $\|\delta_\alpha(e)\| < \delta$. Let $h = -i(\delta_\alpha(e)e - e\delta_\alpha(e)) \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha/\mathcal{J}_n$, which satisfies that $\delta_\alpha(e) = \text{ad } ih(e)$ and has norm less than δ . We find a self-adjoint $H \in \mathcal{E}_n \subset M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $Q(H) = h$ and $\|H\| = \|h\|$.

Let $E \in \mathcal{E}_n \cap D(\delta_\alpha)$ be a projection such that $Q(E) = e$. Note that $(\delta_\alpha - \text{ad } iH)(E) \in \mathcal{J}_n$. Hence there is a self-adjoint $K \in \mathcal{J}_n$ such that

$$(\delta_\alpha - \text{ad } i(H + K))(E) = 0.$$

Since $u(0) = e$, we can find a continuous path $(U(s), s \in [0, 1])$ in $\mathcal{U}(EM(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha E)$ such that $U(0) = E$ and $Q(U(s)) = u(s)$, where $\mathbf{T}$ is regarded as the quotient space $[0, 1]/\{0, 1\}$. Since $Q(U(1)) = e$ or $U(1) \in E(\mathcal{J}_n + 1)E$ and the unitary group of $E(\mathcal{J}_n + 1)E$ is connected, we may suppose that $U(1) = E$, i.e., we have a lifting u to the unitary U in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes EM(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha E$ such that $U(0) = E$. Let β be the flow on $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ generated by $\delta_\alpha - \text{ad } iH - \text{ad } iK$, which leaves E invariant, i.e., β_t is implemented by $e^{it(H_0 - H - K)}$. Since $Q(\beta_t(U(s)) - U(s)) = \alpha_t^{(-h)}(u(s)) - u(s)$, we have that

$$\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\beta_t(U(s)) - U(s) + \mathcal{J}_n\| < 2\|h\| + \delta < 3\delta,$$

where $\alpha^{(-h)}$ is the flow on $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ generated by $\delta_\alpha - \text{ad } ih$. We have remarked that the essential spectrum of $(H_0 - H - K)E$ is $\mathbf{R}$, where we may suppose that $(H_0 - H - K)E$ is diagonal by changing K if necessary. We will apply Lemmas 4.7 and 4.4 below for the flow $\beta|M(E\mathcal{J}_nE)_\beta$ in place of $\alpha|M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$, where $E\mathcal{J}_nE$ is the compact operators on $E\mathcal{H}_0$ as $\mathcal{J}_n$ is the compact operators on $\mathcal{H}_0$ and $M(E\mathcal{J}_nE)_\beta = EM(\mathcal{J}_n)_\beta E = EM(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha E$. This is possible because the properties we needed for $\alpha_t = \text{Ad } e^{itH_0}$ on $M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ in these lemmas are that $\text{Spec}(H_0) = \mathbf{R}$ and that H_0 is diagonal; the same properties hold for $\beta_t = \text{Ad } e^{it(H_0 - H - K)E}$ on $M(E\mathcal{J}_nE)_\beta$ as asserted above.

We apply Lemma 4.7 to the unitary U in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes EM(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha E$ (or rather to $Q(U)$) with the Hilbert space $E\mathcal{H}_0$ and the flow $\beta|EM(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha E$. Thus we can choose a unitary $V \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes EM(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha E$ such that $V(0) = E$, $\|U(s) - V(s) + \mathcal{J}_n\| < \epsilon'$ for $s \in \mathbf{T}$ and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\beta_t(V) - V\| < \epsilon'$, where ϵ' is an arbitrarily small constant depending on δ . Then we can invoke 4.4 to find a rectifiable path $(W_s, s \in [0, 1])$ in $\mathcal{U}(C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes EM(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha E)$ such that $W_0 = E$, $W_1 = V$, $W_s(0) = E$, $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\beta_t(W_s) - W_s\| < \epsilon$, and the length of (W_s) is less than $4\pi + \epsilon$. Now we can set $w_s = Q(W_s)$, which satisfies all the required conditions; in particular, since $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t^{(-h)}(w_s) - w_s\| < \epsilon$, it follows that $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(w_s) - w_s\| < \epsilon + 2\delta$. $\square$

Lemma 4.10 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following condition: If $V \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ is a unitary such that $V(0) = 1$ at $0 \in \mathbf{T}$ and*

$$\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(V) - V\| < \delta,$$

then there is a unitary $Z \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $Z(0) = 1$, $\|Q(V) - Q(Z)\lambda(Q(Z))^\| < \epsilon$, and*

$$\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(Z) - Z\| < \epsilon.$$

Proof. Let $N \in \mathbf{N}$ and $M = n^N$. we assume that $M^{-1} < \epsilon$. By 4.5 there are projections e_i , $i = 0, \dots, M-1$ in $\mathcal{O}_n \cap D(\delta_\alpha) \subset M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n$ such that $\sum_i e_i = 1$, $\|\delta_\alpha(e_i)\| < \epsilon'$, and $\|\lambda(e_i) - e_i\| < \epsilon'$ for an arbitrarily small $\epsilon' > 0$.

For each $s \in \mathbf{T}$ there is an isomorphism ϕ_s of $\mathcal{O}_n = C^*(Q(s_1), \dots, Q(s_n))$ onto $C^*(Q(V(s)s_1), \dots, Q(V(s)s_n)) \subset M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$. Note that $s \mapsto \phi_s$ is continuous in the sense that $s \mapsto \phi_s(x)$ is continuous for each $x \in \mathcal{O}_n$. Since $\phi_s \lambda|_{\mathcal{O}_n} = \text{Ad } Q(V(s)) \lambda \phi_s|_{\mathcal{O}_n}$, the projections $f_i(s) = \phi_s(e_i)$ satisfy that $\sum_i f_i(s) = 1$ and $\|\text{Ad } Q(V(s)) \lambda(f_i(s)) - f_{i+1}(s)\| < \epsilon'$. Since $\alpha_t(V(s)) \approx V(s)$ or $\phi_s \alpha_t|_{\mathcal{O}_n} \approx \alpha_t \phi_s|_{\mathcal{O}_n}$ depending on δ , we can also ascertain $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(f_i(s)) - f_i(s)\| < \epsilon'$, by choosing $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. Note that $f_i : s \mapsto f_i(s)$ defines a projection in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$.

We assert that there is a partial isometry $z \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n$ such that $z^*z = 1 \otimes e_0$, $zz^* = f_0$, and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)z - z\|$ is small.

Let $h = -i(\delta_\alpha(e_0)e_0 - e_0\delta_\alpha(e_0)) \in (\mathcal{O}_n)_{sa}$. Then we have that $(\delta_\alpha - \text{ad } ih)(e_0) = 0$.

From the proof of 4.5 e_0 is defined as w^*p_0w , where p_0 is a projection in $\mathcal{O}_n^\gamma \subset \text{UHF}_n$ and w is an isometry in $\mathcal{O}_n$ with $ww^* \in \mathcal{O}_n^\gamma$ and $ww^* \geq p_0$. We may suppose that p_0 and ww^* are in the linear span of $Q(s_I s_J^*)$, $|I| = |J| = L$ for a large $L \in \mathbf{N}$. Since $\phi_s(s_I s_J^*) = Q(V(s))_L s_I s_J^* Q(V(s))_L^*$ for $I, J \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^*$ with $|I| = |J| \leq L$, it follows that

$$f_0(s) = \phi_s(e_0) = \phi_s(w^*)Q(V(s))_L p_0 Q(V(s))_L^* \phi_s(w),$$

where $Q(V(s))_L$ is defined inductively by $Q(V(s))_0 = 1$ and

$$Q(V(s))_L = Q(V(s))\lambda(Q(V(s))_{L-1}).$$

Thus we can set

$$z(s) = \phi_s(w^*)Q(V(s))_L w e_0, \quad s \in \mathbf{T},$$

which has the required property as a partial isometry from $1 \otimes e_0$ onto f_0 if we choose δ sufficiently small. (For example, $z(s)^*z(s) = e_0 w^* Q(V(s))_L^* \phi_s(w w^*) Q(V(s))_L w e_0 = e_0 w^* \cdot w w^* \cdot w e_0 = e_0$.) Since $V(0) = 1$ and $\phi_0 = \text{id}$, we have that $z(0) = e_0$. (Given $\epsilon > 0$ we choose $N \in \mathbf{N}$ and the projections e_i for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n^N - 1$; in particular the above w , p_0 , and L . Then making $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small depending on w and L , we get that $\phi_s(w^*)$ and $Q(V)_L$ are almost α -invariant.)

Now we proceed as follows. We choose unitaries ζ, η in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n$ such that $\zeta(s) = \zeta(0) = \eta(0)$, $\zeta \approx 1$, $\text{Ad} \zeta \lambda(1 \otimes e_i) = 1 \otimes e_{i+1}$, $\eta \approx 1$, and $\text{Ad}(\eta Q(V)) \lambda(f_i) = f_{i+1}$, where λ denotes the endomorphism $\text{id} \otimes \lambda$ on $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n$. We define a map μ on $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n$ by $\mu = L_{\eta Q(V)} R_{\zeta^*} \lambda$, where L_x (resp. R_x) is the left (resp. right) multiplication of x . Note that $\mu|_{C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes 1} = \text{id}$, $\mu(z) = \eta Q(V) \lambda(z) \zeta^*$ is a partial isometry from $1 \otimes e_1$ to f_1 , etc. We let

$$y = z^* \mu^M(z) \approx z^* Q(V) \lambda(Q(V)) \cdots \lambda^{M-1}(Q(V)) \lambda^M(z)$$

which is a unitary in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes e_0(M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n)e_0$ such that $(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(y) \approx y$ for $t \in [-1, 1]$ depending on $\delta > 0$. Since $V(0) = 1$ and $z(0) = e_0$, we get that $y(0) = e_0$.

By assuming $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small we can now invoke 4.9, i.e., we find a rectifiable path $(y_s, s \in [0, 1])$ in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n$ such that $y_s y_s^* = 1 \otimes e_0 = y_s y_s^*$, $y_0 = 1 \otimes e_0$, $y_1 = y$, $y_s(0) = e_0$, $\|\alpha_t(y_s) - y_s\| \approx 0$ for $t \in [-1, 1]$, and the length of (y_s) is less than 5π .

We are now in a familiar situation; we define a unitary $u \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n$ by

$$u = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \mu^k(z y_k),$$

where (y_k) is a sequence of unitaries in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes e_0(M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n)e_0$ chosen from the above path (y_s) as follows: $y_0 = y$, $\|y_k - y_{k+1}\| < 5\pi/M$, $y_k(0) = e_0$, and $y_{M-1} = e_0$. Note that $\mu^M(z) = zy$. Then u satisfies that $\|\mu(u) - u\| < 5\pi/M$, i.e., $Q(V) \lambda(u) \approx u$. It also follows that $u(0) = 1$ and $(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(u) \approx u$ for $t \in [-1, 1]$. Then use 4.7 to lift u to a unitary Z in $C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $Q(Z) \approx u$, $Z(0) = 1$, and $(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)Z \approx Z$ for $t \in [-1, 1]$, which satisfies the required conditions. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 3.4

Let $\delta > 0$, which will be decided during the proof. Suppose that we are given a unitary $V \in M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $Q(V) \in (\mathcal{O}_n)'$ and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(V) - V\| < \delta$. Then by applying Lemma 4.1 there is a rectifiable path $(V_s, s \in [0, 1])$ in $\mathcal{U}(M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha)$ such that $V_0 = 1$, $V_1 = V$, and

$$\epsilon \equiv \max_{s \in [0, 1]} \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(V_s) - V_s\|$$

is very small depending on δ .

Since $Q(V\lambda(V)^*) = 1$, the map $s \in [0, 1] \mapsto Q(V_s)\lambda(Q(V_s)^*)$ defines a unitary $w \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha / \mathcal{J}_n$ such that $w(0) = 1$ and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(w) - w\| \leq 2\epsilon$ for $t \in [-1, 1]$. By 4.7 we obtain a unitary $W \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $Q(W) = w$, $W(0) = 1$, and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(W) - W\| \equiv \epsilon'$ is small depending on ϵ . Then by 4.10 we obtain a unitary $Z \in C(\mathbf{T}) \otimes M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha$ such that $Z(0) = 1$, $w = Q(W) \approx Q(Z^*)\lambda(Q(Z))$, and $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_t)(Z) - Z\|$ is small depending on ϵ' . Set $\tilde{V}_s = Z(s)V_s$, $s \in [0, 1]$. Then $\tilde{V}_0 = 1$, $\tilde{V}_1 = V$, $\lambda(Q(\tilde{V}_s)) \approx Q(\tilde{V}_s)$, and $\max_{s \in [0, 1]} \max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(\tilde{V}_s) - \tilde{V}_s\| \approx 0$. Thus we find the desired path $(\tilde{V}_s, s \in [0, 1])$ connecting 1 with V in $\mathcal{U}(M(\mathcal{J}_n)_\alpha)$. This concludes the proof.

5 Cocycle conjugacy

When A is a unital C^* -algebra, we denote by $\mathcal{U}(A)$ the unitary group of A . When C is a C^* -subalgebra of A such that $A \cap C' = C$, we call C a masa of A . When C is a masa of A and $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, u is said to normalize C if $uCu^* = C$. We denote by $\mathcal{N}(C)$ the set of those $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ normalizing C . Note that $\mathcal{N}(C) \supset \mathcal{U}(C)$ and $\mathcal{N}(C)$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(A)$. When $\mathcal{N}(C)$ generates A as a C^* -algebra (or equivalently the closed linear span of $\mathcal{N}(C)$ is A), C is called a regular masa of A .

When a regular masa C of A satisfies the conditions that there is a norm-one projection of A onto C and that there is a character of C which uniquely extends to a state of A , we call C a *Cartan* masa of A .

When α is a flow on a C^* -algebra A , we denote by δ_α its generator and by $D(\delta_\alpha)$ the domain of δ_α , which is a dense $*$ -subalgebra of A .

Theorem 5.1 *Let A be a unital simple C^* -algebra and let C be a Cartan masa of A .*

Let α be a flow on A such that $D(\delta_\alpha) \supset C$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $\sup\{\|\alpha_t\delta_\alpha(x) - \delta_\alpha(x)\| \mid x \in C, \|x\| \leq 1\} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.
2. $\delta_\alpha|_C$ is inner, i.e., there is an $h = h^* \in A$ such that $\delta_\alpha(x) = \text{ad } ih(x)$, $x \in C$.

Remark 5.2 The first condition of the above theorem follows if $D(\delta_\alpha^2) \supset C$. This is because then $\delta_\alpha^2|_C$ is bounded (see, e.g., [2]) and

$$\|\alpha_t\delta_\alpha(x) - \delta_\alpha(x)\| \leq \|\delta_\alpha^2|_C\| |t| \|x\|$$

for $x \in C$.

In the above theorem it is obvious that (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Because, by using h in (2), we have the estimate that

$$\|\alpha_t\delta_\alpha(x) - \delta_\alpha(x)\| \leq 2(\|\alpha_t(h) - h\| \|x\| + \|h\| \|\delta_\alpha|_C\| |t|), \quad x \in C.$$

Now we assume (1). To derive (2) we first show the following lemmas, the first of which is proved in a more general context.

Lemma 5.3 *Let α be a flow on a C^* -algebra A and let C be an abelian C^* -subalgebra of A such that $C \subset D(\delta_\alpha)$. Then for each character ω of C there is a state φ of A such that $\|\varphi\alpha_t - \varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $\varphi|_C = \omega$.*

Proof. Let ω be a character of C . Then there is a decreasing net (z_ν) in $\{x \in C \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$ such that $\omega(z_\nu) = 1$ and (z_ν) converges to the minimal projection $p \in C^{**}$ with $\omega(p) = 1$. We regard $C^{**} \subset A^{**}$ and set $c = \|\delta_\alpha|_C\|$. Since $\|\alpha_t(z_\nu) - z_\nu\| \leq c|t|$, we get that $\|\alpha_t^{**}(p) - p\| \leq c|t|$, i.e., $t \mapsto \alpha_t^{**}(p)$ is norm-continuous. Let B be the C^* -subalgebra of A^{**} generated by A and $\alpha_t^{**}(p)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Then B is left invariant under α^{**} and the restriction $\beta = \alpha^{**}|_B$ is a flow, i.e., is strongly continuous. There is a β -cocycle u such that $\text{Ad } u_t \beta_t(p) = p$. Hence there is a $\text{Ad } u\beta$ -invariant pure state $\hat{\varphi}$ of B such that $\hat{\varphi}(p) = 1$. We let $\varphi = \hat{\varphi}|_A$. Since $\alpha = \beta|_A = \alpha^{**}|_A$, we have that $\|\varphi\alpha_t - \varphi\| \leq \|\hat{\varphi}\beta_t - \hat{\varphi}\|$. Since $\|\hat{\varphi}\beta_t - \hat{\varphi}\| \leq \|(\hat{\varphi} - \hat{\varphi}\text{Ad } u_t)\beta_t\| \leq 2\|u_t - 1\|$, we have that $\|\varphi\alpha_t - \varphi\| \leq 2\|u_t - 1\|$. Since $\varphi|_C = \omega$, this concludes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 5.4 *Let ω be a character of C such that ω uniquely extends to a state φ of A , which is necessarily a pure state. Then $\pi_\varphi(C)''$ is a completely atomic masa of $\pi_\varphi(A)'' = B(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$, i.e., there is a family $\{p_i\}$ of one-dimensional projections on $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ such that $\pi_\varphi(C)''$ is generated by p_i 's. If Φ is a norm one projection of A onto C , then $\pi_\varphi\Phi(x) = \sum_i p_i \pi_\varphi(x) p_i$ for $x \in A$.*

Proof. The support projection p of ω in C^{**} is minimal in A^{**} . Hence $\pi_\varphi^{**}(p) \equiv p$ is a one-dimensional projection belonging to $\pi_\varphi(C)''$. Since C is regular, the supremum of $\pi_\varphi(u)p\pi_\varphi(u)^* \in \pi_\varphi(C)''$ over $u \in \mathcal{N}(C)$ should commute with $\pi_\varphi(A)''$; hence equals 1. Thus we conclude that there is a family $\{p_i\}$ of one-dimensional projections in $\pi_\varphi(C)''$ such that $\sum_i p_i = 1$.

Let $x \in A$. If $z \in C$ satisfies that $0 \leq z \leq 1$ and $\pi_\varphi(z)\Omega_\varphi = \Omega_\varphi$, then

$$\pi_\varphi\Phi(x)\Omega_\varphi = \pi_\varphi\Phi(x)\pi_\varphi(z^2)\Omega_\varphi = \pi_\varphi\Phi(zxz)\Omega_\varphi.$$

Since $zxz \approx \varphi(x)z^2$ for a suitably chosen z , we get that

$$\pi_\varphi\Phi(x)\Omega_\varphi = \varphi(x)\Omega_\varphi = p\pi_\varphi(x)p\Omega_\varphi$$

for $x \in A$, where p is the projection onto $\mathbf{C}\Omega_\varphi$. Let $u \in \mathcal{N}(C)$. Since $x \in C \mapsto \langle \pi_\varphi(x)\pi_\varphi(u)\Omega_\varphi, \pi_\varphi(u)\Omega_\varphi \rangle = \omega(u^*xu)$ is also a character, we apply the same argument and get that if $u \in \mathcal{N}(C)$,

$$\pi_\varphi\Phi(x)\pi_\varphi(u)\Omega_\varphi = \varphi(u^*xu)\pi_\varphi(u)\Omega_\varphi = p_u\pi_\varphi(x)p_u\pi_\varphi(u)\Omega_\varphi = \left(\sum_i p_i \pi_\varphi(x) p_i\right) \pi_\varphi(u)\Omega_\varphi,$$

for $x \in A$, where $p_u = \pi_\varphi(u)p\pi_\varphi(u)^* \in \pi_\varphi(C)''$ is the projection onto $\mathbf{C}\pi_\varphi(u)\Omega_\varphi$ and $\{p_i\}$ is chosen in the first paragraph. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 5.5 *Under the condition (1) of the theorem, it follows that $\mathcal{N}(C) \subset D(\delta_\alpha)$.*

Proof. Let ω be a character of C which uniquely extends to a state, say φ , of A . Note that φ is a pure state of A . From 5.3 it follows that $\|\varphi\alpha_t - \varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Hence there is a unitary flow U on $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ such that $\text{Ad } U_t \pi_\varphi(x) = \pi_\varphi(\alpha_t(x))$, $x \in A$. We will let H be the self-adjoint generator of U ; $U_t = e^{itH}$.

Let h be an invariant mean of the function on the abelian group $\mathcal{U}(C)$ defined by $u \mapsto -i\pi_\varphi(\delta_\alpha(u)u^*) \in B(\mathcal{H}_\varphi)$. Then it follows that $h^* = h \in B(\mathcal{H}_\varphi)$ and $\text{ad } ih(\pi_\varphi(x)) = \pi_\varphi(\delta_\alpha(x))$, $x \in C$. We will show that $t \mapsto \text{Ad } U_t(h)$ is norm-continuous.

Let $c = \|\delta_\alpha|C\|$. We have that

$$\|\alpha_t(\delta_\alpha(u)u^*) - \delta_\alpha(u)u^*\| \leq \|\delta_\alpha(\alpha_t(u) - u)\| + c^2|t|$$

for $u \in \mathcal{U}(C)$. Since $\text{Ad } U_t(ih) - ih$ is the invariant mean of $u \mapsto \pi_\varphi(\alpha_t(\delta_\alpha(u)u^*) - \delta_\alpha(u)u^*)$, it follows that

$$\|\text{Ad } U_t(h) - h\| \leq \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}(C)} \|\delta_\alpha(\alpha_t(u) - u)\| + c^2|t|.$$

Thus we get that $t \mapsto \text{Ad } U_t(h)$ is norm-continuous.

Let B be the C*-algebra generated by $\pi_\varphi(A)$ and $\text{Ad } U_t(h)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $\text{Ad } U_t$ leaves B invariant and $x \mapsto \text{Ad } U_t(x)$ is norm-continuous for $x \in B$. Hence $t \mapsto \text{Ad } U_t|B$ defines a flow on B , which we denote by β . Since $h \in B$, $\text{Ad } e^{it(H-h)}$ leaves B invariant and defines a perturbed flow $\beta^{(-h)}$, which fixes each element of $\pi_\varphi(C)$, i.e., $e^{it(H-h)} \in \pi_\varphi(C)'$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{N}(C)$ and define

$$W_t = \pi_\varphi(u)\beta_t^{(-h)}(\pi_\varphi(u^*)), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Then W_t is a unitary in B and $t \mapsto W_t$ is a $\beta^{(-h)}$ -cocycle. For $z \in \mathcal{U}(C)$ we have that $[\pi_\varphi(z), W_t] = 0$, which implies that $W_t \in B \cap \pi_\varphi(C)' \subset \pi_\varphi(C)''$. Since $\beta_t^{(-h)}|B \cap \pi_\varphi(C)'$ is the identity map, we get that $t \mapsto W_t$ is a norm-continuous unitary flow, i.e., there is a $k = k^* \in B \cap \pi_\varphi(C)''$ such that $W_t = e^{ikt}$. Thus it follows that $\pi_\varphi(u)$ is in the domain of the generator of $\beta^{(-h)}$ or β . Since $\beta_t \pi_\varphi(u) = \pi_\varphi \alpha_t(u)$ and π_φ is isometric, we get that $u \in D(\delta_\alpha)$. This completes the proof of the inclusion $\mathcal{N}(C) \subset D(\delta_\alpha)$. $\square$

Continued from the above proof we get that for $u \in \mathcal{N}(C)$,

$$\pi_\varphi(u\delta_\alpha(u^*)) - \pi_\varphi(u)\text{ad } ih(\pi_\varphi(u^*)) = ik,$$

where $k = k^* \in \pi_\varphi(C)''$.

Let $\overline{\Phi}$ be the norm-one projection of $B(\mathcal{H}_\varphi)$ onto $\pi_\varphi(C)''$. For $z \in C$ we have that

$$\pi_\varphi \Phi \delta_\alpha(z) = \overline{\Phi} \pi_\varphi \delta_\alpha(z) = [i\overline{\Phi}(h), \pi_\varphi(z)] = 0.$$

Since h is the invariant mean of $-i\pi_\varphi(\delta_\alpha(z)z^*)$, $z \in \mathcal{U}(C)$ and

$$\overline{\Phi}(-i\pi_\varphi(\delta_\alpha(z)z^*)) = -i\pi_\varphi(\Phi(\delta_\alpha(z))z^*) = 0,$$

we obtain that $\overline{\Phi}(h) = 0$. Using that $\overline{\Phi}(\pi_\varphi(u)h\pi_\varphi(u^*)) = \pi_\varphi(u)\overline{\Phi}(h)\pi_\varphi(u^*) = 0$ for $u \in \mathcal{N}(C)$, we get, from the equation in the previous paragraph,

$$\pi_\varphi(\Phi(u\delta_\alpha(u^*))) = ik,$$

i.e., $k \in \pi_\varphi(C)$. Hence we get that

$$\text{ad } ih(\pi_\varphi(u^*)) = \pi_\varphi(\delta_\alpha(u^*)) - \pi_\varphi(u^*)ik \in \pi_\varphi(A).$$

Since this is the case for all $u \in \mathcal{N}(C)$, we can conclude that $\text{ad } ih$ defines a bounded derivation on $\pi_\varphi(A)$. Since $\pi_\varphi(A)$ is unital and simple and $\pi_\varphi(A)'' = B(\mathcal{H}_\varphi)$, this implies that $h \in \pi_\varphi(A)$ (because any derivation of a unital simple C^* -algebra is inner). Since $\text{ad } ih \pi_\varphi(z) = \pi_\varphi(\delta_\alpha(z))$, $z \in C$, this concludes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

In the above theorem, the regularity of the masa C in that strong sense is not really needed. We call a masa C of A weakly regular if

$$\{u \in \mathcal{PI}(A) \mid uu^*, u^*u \in C, uCu^* = Cuu^*\}$$

generates A , where $\mathcal{PI}(A)$ is the set of partial isometries of A . If a weakly regular masa C satisfies the conditions that there is a norm-one projection of A onto C and that there is a character of C which uniquely extends to a state of A , then we call C a weak Cartan masa. We can get the following from the above proof straightforwardly.

Corollary 5.6 *Let A be a unital simple C^* -algebra and let C be a weak Cartan masa of A .*

Let α be a flow on A such that $D(\delta_\alpha) \supset C$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

1. $\sup\{\|\alpha_t\delta_\alpha(x) - \delta_\alpha(x)\| \mid x \in C, \|x\| \leq 1\} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.
2. δ_α is inner, i.e., there is an $h = h^* \in A$ such that $\delta_\alpha(x) = \text{ad } ih(x)$, $x \in C$.

Remark 5.7 Let A be a unital simple AF C^* -algebra. Let C be a masa of A . We call C a canonical AF masa if there is an increasing sequence (A_n) of finite-dimensional C^* -subalgebras of A such that the union is dense in A and C is generated by $C \cap A_n \cap A'_{n-1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ with $A_0 = 0$. If C is a canonical AF masa of A , then the pair (A, C) satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5.1. Moreover if α is a flow on A such that $D(\delta_\alpha) \supset C$, then $\delta_\alpha|_C$ is inner [16]. Note also that a canonical AF masa of A is unique up to the transform by automorphisms.

Proposition 5.8 *Let B be a stable AF C^* -algebra, C a canonical AF masa, and γ an automorphism of B such that $\gamma(C) = C$ and γ fixes no non-trivial ideals of B . Suppose that $\gamma_*(g)$ is strictly smaller than g for any $g \in K_0(B)_+$, i.e., if ϕ is a positive homomorphism of $K_0(B)$ into $\mathbf{R}$ such that $\phi(g) > 0$, then $\phi(g) > \phi\gamma_*(g)$. Let e be a non-zero projection in C such that $\gamma(e) \leq e$. Let $A = e(B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbf{Z})e$ and regard $C = Ce$ as a C^* -subalgebra of A . Then it follows that*

1. A is a unital simple C^* -algebra.
2. There exists a norm-one projection of A onto $\mathcal{C}$.
3. There exists a character of $\mathcal{C}$ which uniquely extends to a state of A .

If B is simple in addition, $\mathcal{C}$ is a regular masa of A .

Proof. Since the Connes spectrum of γ is full (otherwise some power of γ would be universally weakly inner on some non-zero ideal of B), it is well-known that $B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbf{Z}$ is simple; thus (1) follows.

By using the dual action of $\mathbf{T}$ on $B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbf{Z}$, we get a norm-one projection of $A = e(B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbf{Z})e$ onto eBe . We also have a norm-one projection of eBe onto $\mathcal{C} = Ce$. Composing them we get the desired norm-one projection from A onto $\mathcal{C}$. Thus (2) follows.

To prove (3) we assert that there is a decreasing sequence (p_k) of non-zero projections in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\|p_k u \gamma^n(p_k)\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}) \cap eBe$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then we take a character ω of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\omega(p_k) = 1$ for all k . If φ is an extension of ω to a state of A , then we get that $\varphi(xU^n) = \lim_k \varphi(p_k x \gamma^n(p_k) U^n) = 0$ for all $x \in eBe$ and all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where U is the canonical unitary multiplier of $B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbf{Z}$ and we have used that $\mathcal{C}$ is a regular masa of eBe . This implies that φ is uniquely determined by ω .

To prove the above assertion on (p_k) , let $(u_m, n_m)_m$ be a dense sequence in $(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}) \cap eBe) \times \mathbf{N}$. Suppose that we have chosen a non-zero projection $p \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $pu_m \gamma^{n_m}(p) = 0$ for $m < \ell$. Then we have to find a non-zero projection $p' \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $p' \leq p$ and $p' u_\ell \gamma^{n_\ell}(p') = 0$. Since $q = \text{Ad } u_\ell \gamma^{n_\ell}(p)$ is a projection in $\mathcal{C}$ whose equivalence class is strictly smaller than $[p]$, we get that $p(1 - q) \neq 0$; thus we may set $p' = p(1 - q)$. We can get the desired sequence of projections by repeating this procedure.

Recall that we have set $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}) = \{u \in \mathcal{U}(A) \mid u\mathcal{C}u^* = \mathcal{C}\}$. To prove the last statement we have to show that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ generates A . Note that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi([\gamma^k(e)]) = 0$ for any positive homomorphism ϕ of $K_0(B)$ into $\mathbf{R}$ (otherwise $\lim_k \phi \gamma^k$ would define a non-zero γ -invariant positive homomorphism ψ of $K_0(B)$ into $\mathbf{R}$ such that $\psi([e]) > 0$). We choose $k > 1$ such that $[\gamma^{k-1}(e)] + [\gamma^k(e)] \leq [e]$ and then choose $v, w \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}) \cap eBe$ such that

$$\gamma^k(e) \perp \text{Ad } v \gamma^{k-1}(e), \quad \gamma^{k-1}(e) \perp \text{Ad } w \gamma^k(e),$$

and

$$\gamma^k(e) + \text{Ad } v \gamma^{k-1}(e) = \gamma^{k-1}(e) + \text{Ad } w \gamma^k(e) \equiv f.$$

Then it follows that

$$S^k S^{*k-1} + v S^{k-1} S^{*k} w^* + e - f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}),$$

where $S = Ue$. Hence by multiplying $\gamma^{k-1}(e) \in \mathcal{C}$ from the right, we get that $S^k S^{*k-1} = S \gamma^{k-1}(e)$ belongs to the C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}))$ generated by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$. For $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}) \cap eBe$ we have that $\gamma(u) + e - \gamma(e) \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ and that $\gamma(u) S \gamma^{k-1}(e) u^* = S u \gamma^{k-1}(e) u^*$ belongs to

$C^*(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}))$. Since eBe is simple, it follows that $S \in C^*(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}))$. Since $C^*(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})) \supset eBe$ as follows easily, this concludes the proof. $\square$

Given flows α and β , we say that α is an approximate cocycle perturbation of β if there is a sequence (u_n) of β -cocycles such that $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \|\alpha_t(x) - \text{Ad } u_n(t)\beta_t(x)\|$ converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in A$. If α is an approximate cocycle perturbation of β , we should note that the converse does not follow in general.

We recall that the above automorphism γ of B may have the Rohlin property (see [7, 4]). In this case we can show:

Lemma 5.9 *Let A be a unital nuclear simple purely infinite C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{C} = Ce$ be a Cartan masa of A as in Proposition 5.8. Suppose also that the automorphism γ of B has the Rohlin property.*

Let α be a Rohlin flow on A and let β be a flow on A such that $\beta_t|_{\mathcal{C}} = \text{id}$. Then β is an approximate cocycle perturbation of α .

Proof. Let (B_n) be an increasing sequence of finite-dimensional C^* -subalgebras of B such that $e, \gamma(e) \in B_1$, the central support of $\gamma(e)$ in eB_1e is e , $\gamma^{\pm 1}(B_n) \subset B_{n+1}$, and C is generated by $C \cap B_n \cap B'_{n-1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where $B_0 = 0$. Let $u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}) \cap eB_ne$. We have then $\beta_t(u) = e^{itk}u$ for some $k = k^* \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}) \cap eB_ne$ generates eB_ne , we get that β fixes eBe and that $D(\delta_\beta)$ contains the union of eB_ne , $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

For each n there exists a $z_n = z_n^* \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\delta_\beta|_{eB_ne} = \text{ad } iz_n|_{eB_ne}$. We make a bounded perturbation to δ_β and pass to a subsequence of (B_n) so that $z_n \in Ce \cap eB_{n+1}e$, i.e., the C^* -subalgebra D_n of $eB_{n+1}e$ generated by eB_ne and $e(B_{n+1} \cap B'_n)e \cap C$ is left invariant under β . Note that $eB_ne \subset D_n \subset eB_{n+1}e$.

The domain $D(\delta_\alpha)$ may not contain $\bigcup_n eB_ne$, but we find a $u \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $D(\delta_\alpha) \supset ueB_neu^*$ for all n . Thus by replacing α_t by a cocycle perturbation $\text{Ad}(u^*\alpha_t(u))\alpha_t$, we may suppose that $D(\delta_\alpha) \supset \bigcup_n eB_ne = \bigcup_n D_n$.

There exists $y_n = y_n^* \in A$ such that $\delta_\alpha|_{D_n} = \text{ad } iy_n|_{D_n}$. Then it follows that $(\delta_\alpha + \text{ad}(z_n - y_n))|_{D_n} = \delta_\beta|_{D_n}$, which implies that $\alpha_t^{(z_n - y_n)}(x) = \beta_t(x)$ for $x \in D_n$. Thus, for any n , by a bounded perturbation on α we can always assume that $\alpha = \beta$ on D_n .

Let $S = Ue$ and define $w_t = S^*\beta_t(S)$, which is an β -cocycle. We know that $w_t \in \mathcal{C}$ and hence that there is a $k = k^* \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $w_t = e^{itk}$. We approximate k by $k_n = k_n^* \in Ce \cap eB_ne = Ce \cap D_{n-1}$ such that $\|k - k_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let T be a large constant and n be a large integer such that $1/T \approx 0$ and $T\|k - k_n\| \approx 0$. Let N be also a large integer. We suppose that $\alpha_t(x) = \beta_t(x)$, $x \in D_{n+N}$.

Let $v_t = S^*\alpha_t(S)$, which is a unitary since $\alpha_t(SS^*) = SS^*$ and forms a α -cocycle. Let $x \in Ce \cap eB_{n+N}e = Ce \cap D_{n+N-1}$. Then since $SxS^* \in Ce \cap B_{n+N+1} \subset D_{n+N}$, we have that $xv_t = S^*SxS^*\alpha_t(S) = S^*\alpha_t(SxS^*) = v_tx$, which implies, in particular, that $e^{-itk_n}v_t = v_te^{-itk_n}$ (as $k_n \in Ce \cap D_{n-1}$). Since $\alpha_t(e^{-itk_n}) = e^{-itk_n}$, it follows that $t \mapsto e^{-itk_n}v_t$ is an α -cocycle.

Let $x \in D_{n+N-1}$. Then since $S\beta_{-t}(x)S^* = S\alpha_{-t}(x)S^* \in D_{n+N}$, we have that $x\beta_t(S^*)\alpha_t(S) = \beta_t(S^*S\beta_{-t}(x)S^*)\alpha_t(S) = \beta_t(S^*)\alpha_t(S)x$, i.e., $e^{-itk}v_t \in A \cap D'_{n+N-1}$. Hence,

for $x \in D_{n+N-1}$ and $t \in [0, T]$, we get that $\| [e^{-itk_n} v_t, x] \| = \| [e^{-itk_n} e^{itk}, x] e^{-itk} v_t \| \leq 2T \| k - k_n \| \| x \|$.

Since α has the Rohlin property and $t \mapsto e^{-itk_n} v_t$ is an α -cocycle, we obtain a unitary w in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that $\| w \alpha_t(w^*) - e^{-itk_n} v_t \|$ is of the order of $|t|/T$. Specifically let us assume that $\| w \alpha_t(w^*) - e^{-itk_n} v_t \| \leq \epsilon$ for $t \in [-1, 1]$ with $\epsilon \approx 1/T$. To define such a unitary w we use the path $(e^{-itk_n} v_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ which connects 1 with $e^{-iT k_n} v_T$, along which any element of the unit ball of D_{n+N-1} almost commutes up to the order of $T \| k - k_n \|$, which insures that $\| [w, x] \| \leq \epsilon' \| x \|$ for $x \in D_{n+N-1}$ with ϵ' of the order of $T \| k - k_n \|$. Since $\| [w, e^{-itk_n}] \| \leq \epsilon'$, we get that

$$\| \alpha_t(Sw) - Sw e^{itk_n} \| = \| S v_t \alpha_t(w) e^{-itk_n} w^* - S \|$$

is less than $\epsilon + \epsilon'$ for $t \in [-1, 1]$. From the construction of w , we should also note that w is connected to 1 by a path which almost commutes with D_{n+N-1} . We may just as well assume that $w \in A \cap D'_{n+N-1}$ with $\max_{|t| \leq 1} \| \alpha_t(Sw) - Sw e^{itk_n} \| \leq \epsilon + 3\epsilon'$.

Since γ has the Rohlin property and satisfies that $\gamma^\pm(D_k) \subset D_{k+1}$, we can choose a unitary $u \in A \cap (eB_2e)'$ such that $\| w - u\lambda(u^*) \|$ is of order of $1/N$ and u belongs to $A \cap D'_n$, where λ is a unital homomorphism of $A \cap (eB_2e)'$ into $A \cap (eB_1e)'$ such that $\lambda(x)\gamma(e) = SxS^*$, $x \in A \cap (eB_2e)'$. (To construct u we use a path of unitaries which connects 1 with $w\lambda(w)\lambda^2(u) \cdots \lambda^M(w)$ with $M \approx N$ and lies in the commutant of D_n . This is why we get u from $A \cap D'_n$ while $w \in A \cap D_{n+N-1}$; see, e.g., [7].) Since $\lambda(u)S\lambda(u)^* = Su\lambda(u)^* \approx Sw$, $\alpha_t(\lambda(u)S\lambda(u)^*) \approx Sw e^{itk_n}$, and $[e^{itk_n}, \lambda(u)] = 0$, we have for $v = \lambda(u)$ that

$$\text{Ad } v^* \alpha_t \text{Ad } v(S) \approx v^* S w e^{itk_n} v = S u^* w e^{itk_n} \lambda(u) \approx S e^{itk_n} \approx \beta_t(S).$$

Moreover we have that for $x \in D_{n-1}$

$$\text{Ad } v^* \alpha_t \text{Ad } v(x) = \alpha_t(x) = \beta_t(x).$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

When α is a flow on A , δ_α is the generator of α , and C is a C^* -subalgebra of A , we say that α is $C^{1+\epsilon}$ if $D(\delta_\alpha) \supset C$ and

$$\sup_{x \in C, \|x\| \leq 1} \| (\alpha_t - \text{id}) \delta_\alpha(x) \|$$

converges to 0 as $t \rightarrow 0$.

We recall the following result from [17]: If A is a unital separable nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebra, and if each of two Rohlin flows on A is an approximate cocycle perturbation of the other, then they are cocycle conjugate with each other. By 5.1 and 5.9 we obtain:

Corollary 5.10 *Let A be a unital separable nuclear simple purely infinite C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{C} = Ce$ be a Cartan masa of A as in Proposition 5.8. Suppose also that γ has the Rohlin property.*

Let α and β be Rohlin flows on A such that both α and β are $C^{1+\epsilon}$ on $\mathcal{C}$. Then α and β are cocycle-conjugate.

Proof. By 5.1 we may suppose that $\alpha|_{\mathcal{C}} = \text{id} = \beta|_{\mathcal{C}}$ by inner perturbation. Then by 5.9 α is a cocycle perturbation of β and vice versa. By using the result of [17] quoted above, we get the conclusion. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the C^* -algebra of compact operators on an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space and let $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{K} + \mathbf{C}1$. We fix a minimal projection p in $\mathcal{K}$. For a bounded interval I of $\mathbf{Z}$ we define $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(I) = \bigotimes_I \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathcal{K}(I) = \bigotimes_I \mathcal{K} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(I)$. When $I = [a, b]$, we embed $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}([a, b])$ into $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}([a, b+1])$ by $x \mapsto x \otimes 1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}([a, b])$ into $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}([a-1, b])$ by $x \mapsto p \otimes x$. Let $\tilde{B}$ denote the inductive limit of the system $(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(I))_I$ with these embeddings and let B be the C^* -subalgebra of $\tilde{B}$ generated by $(\mathcal{K}(I))_I$. Let σ denote the automorphism of $\tilde{B}$ induced by the shift on $\mathbf{Z}$ to right; in particular $1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\{0\})$ maps into $p \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\{0\})$. We will denote by $e \in \tilde{B}$ the projection corresponding to $1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\{0\})$ or equivalently to $p \in \mathcal{K}(\{-1\})$.

We note that σ leaves B invariant and $e \in B$.

Note that B is an AF algebra. It is known [6] that the crossed product $B \times_{\sigma} \mathbf{Z}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ and that $e(B \times_{\sigma} \mathbf{Z})e \cong \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$.

Let $(e_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$ be a family of matrix units in $\mathcal{K}$ such that $e_{1,1} = p$ and $\mathcal{K}$ is the closed linear span of (e_{ij}) . Let C be the abelian C^* -subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ generated by those $e_{i,i}$'s and 1. It follows that C is a regular masa of $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$.

Let C_{∞} be the C^* -subalgebra generated by $\mathcal{K}(I) \cap \bigotimes_I C$ with all bounded intervals I . It follows that $e \in C_{\infty}$ and that C_{∞} is a regular masa of B and is left invariant under σ . Let U denote the unitary multiplier of $B \times_{\sigma} \mathbf{Z}$ which implements σ . We let $S = Ue \in e(B \times_{\sigma} \mathbf{Z})e$, which is an isometry. For each $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(\{0\})$ we denote by the same symbol x the corresponding element in B .

Under the isomorphism $e(B \times_{\sigma} \mathbf{Z})e \cong \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ which sends $e_{k1}S$ onto s_k , eC_{∞} is the abelian C^* -subalgebra $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}$ generated by $s_I s_I^*$, $I \in \mathbf{N}^*$, where $\mathbf{N}^*$ is the set of finite sequences in $\mathbf{N}$. It is immediate that $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}$ is a weak Cartan masa of $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$.

Hence Corollary 5.6 is applicable to the pair $A = \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\infty} = eC_{\infty}$. Since B is not simple and σ does not have the Rohlin property, we cannot apply Lemma 5.9 to this pair $(\mathcal{O}_{\infty}, \mathcal{C}_{\infty})$. (We have used that B is simple at the beginning of the proof, but this is required to define the unital *partial* endomorphism λ of eBe out of γ , whose Rohlin property we would need.) But we can use instead the fact that any unital endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ is approximately inner [23], in the proof of 5.9.

More precisely we define a unital endomorphism ϕ of $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ by $\phi(s_k) = s_k w$, or $\phi(e_{k1}S) = e_{k1}Sw$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, where w is the unitary described in the proof of 5.9; in particular $w \in \mathcal{O}_{\infty} \cap D'_{n+N-1}$. Note that $\phi(e_{ij}) = \phi(s_i s_j^*) = s_i w w^* s_j^* = s_i s_j^* = e_{ij}$. For $e_{i_1, j_1} \otimes e_{i_2, j_2} \in$

$\mathcal{K}([0, 1])$, if e_{i_2, j_2} commutes with w , we have that

$$\phi(e_{i_1, j_1} \otimes e_{i_2, j_2}) = \phi(s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{j_2}^* s_{j_1}^*) = s_{i_1} w e_{i_2, j_2} w^* s_{j_1}^* = e_{i_1, j_1} \otimes e_{i_2, j_2}.$$

In this way, we can show that if $e_k = \sum_{i=1}^k e_{ii}$ is a projection in $\mathcal{K}$ such that w is in the commutant of $D(k) = \mathbf{C}e + e_k \mathcal{K} e_k + e_k \mathcal{K} e_k \otimes e_k \mathcal{K} e_k + \cdots + e_k \mathcal{K} e_k \otimes e_k \mathcal{K} e_k \otimes \cdots \otimes e_k \mathcal{K} e_k$ ($k+1$ terms), then $\phi|D(k) = \text{id}$. Hence we can find a unitary $v \in \mathcal{O}_\infty$ such that $v S v^* \approx S w$ and $v x v^* \approx x$ for $x \in D(k)$. Since $\bigcup_k D(k)$ is dense in $e B e$, this leads us to the same conclusion of 5.9.

Thus we have proved Corollary 1.3 just as 5.10. Since there are quite a few Rohlin flows on $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ which are trivial on $\mathcal{C}_\infty$, this result is certainly non-void.

We can define a Cartan masa $\mathcal{C}_n$ of $\mathcal{O}_n$ in the same way as $\mathcal{C}_\infty$. Since Corollary 5.10 is applicable to the pair $(\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{C}_n)$, let us state:

Corollary 5.11 *Let $n = 2, 3, \dots$ or $n = \infty$ and let $\mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{O}_n$ be as above. Then there are Rohlin flows which are $C^{1+\epsilon}$ on $\mathcal{C}_n$ and any two Rohlin flows of this type are cocycle conjugate with each other.*

Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and let G_λ be the subgroup of $\mathbf{R}$ generated by λ^n , $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then G_λ , as an ordered subgroup of $\mathbf{R}$, is a simple dimension group and there is a stable simple AF algebra B_λ such that $K_0(B_\lambda) \cong G_\lambda$. Let γ be an automorphism of B_λ such that γ induces the multiplication by λ on $K_0(B_\lambda) = G_\lambda$. We may suppose that γ leaves a canonical AF masa C of B_λ invariant. By [7] γ has the Rohlin property and by [26] the crossed product $B_\lambda \rtimes_\gamma \mathbf{Z}$ is purely infinite and simple. (We can get more examples of this kind from [4].)

If $\{f \in \mathbf{Z}[t] \mid f(\lambda) = 0\} = p(t)\mathbf{Z}[t]$ for some non-zero $p(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$, then $A_\lambda \equiv B_\lambda \rtimes_\gamma \mathbf{Z}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_n \otimes \mathcal{K}$ where $n = |p(1)| + 1$; otherwise A_λ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$. By cutting off A_λ by a projection $e \in C$ with $[e]$ a generator of $K_0(A_\lambda)$, we get a Cartan masa $\mathcal{C} = C e$ in $e A_\lambda e$ which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_n$ with n depending on λ as above. Thus there are many ways to construct a Cartan masa of $\mathcal{O}_n$ as in 5.8, but we do not know whether we can get a new Cartan masa (in case $n < \infty$), which is not obtained as an image of the above $\mathcal{C}_n$ by an automorphism, and if we can, whether we have a Rohlin flow which is trivial on this Cartan masa.

References

- [1] B. Blackadar, O. Bratteli, G.A. Elliott, and A. Kumjian, Reduction of real rank in inductive limits of C*-algebras, Math. Ann. 292 (1992), 111–126.
- [2] O. Bratteli, Derivations, dissipations and group actions on C*-algebras, Lecture Notes in Math. 1229 (1986), Springer.
- [3] O. Bratteli, D.E. Evans, G.A. Elliott, and A. Kishimoto, Homotopy of a pair of approximately commuting unitaries in a simple purely infinite unital C*-algebra, J. Funct. Anal. 160 (1998), 466–523.

- [4] O. Bratteli and A. Kishimoto, Trace scaling automorphisms of certain stable AF algebras, II, *Quarterly J. Math. Oxford* 51(2000), 131-154.
- [5] O. Bratteli and D.W. Robinson, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics, I*, Springer, 1979.
- [6] J. Cuntz, Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 57 (1977), 173-185.
- [7] D.E. Evans and A. Kishimoto, Trace scaling automorphisms of certain stable AF algebras, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 26 (1997), 211-224.
- [8] U. Haagerup, All nuclear C^* -algebras are amenable, *Invent. Math.* 74 (1983), 305-319.
- [9] E. Kirchberg and N.C. Phillips, Embedding of exact C^* -algebras in the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$, *J. reine angew. Math.* 525 (2000), 17-53.
- [10] E. Kirchberg and N.C. Phillips, Embedding of continuous fields of C^* -algebras in the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$, *J. reine angew. Math.* 525 (2000), 55-94.
- [11] A. Kishimoto, Simple crossed products of C^* -algebras by locally compact abelian groups, *Yokohama Math. J.* 28 (1980), 69-85.
- [12] A. Kishimoto, The Rohlin property for automorphisms of UHF algebras, *J. reine angew. Math.* 465 (1995), 183-196.
- [13] A. Kishimoto, The Rohlin property for shifts on UHF algebras and automorphisms of Cuntz algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 140 (1996), 100-123.
- [14] A. Kishimoto, A Rohlin property for one-parameter automorphism groups, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 179 (1996), 599-622.
- [15] A. Kishimoto, Locally representable one-parameter automorphism groups of AF algebras and KMS states, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 45 (2000), 333-356.
- [16] A. Kishimoto, Examples of one-parameter automorphism groups of UHF algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 216 (2001), 395-408.
- [17] A. Kishimoto, Rohlin flows on the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$, *Internat. J. Math.* 13 (2002), 1065-1094.
- [18] A. Kishimoto, Rohlin property for flows, *Contemporary Math.* 335 (2003), 195-207.
- [19] A. Kishimoto, The one-cocycle property for shifts, to appear in *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.*
- [20] A. Kishimoto, Central sequence algebras of a purely infinite simple C^* -algebra, *Canad. J. Math.* 56 (2004), 1237-1258.
- [21] A. Kishimoto and A. Kumjian, Crossed products of Cuntz algebras by quasi-free automorphisms, *Fields Inst. Commun.* 13 (1997), 173-192.

- [22] A. Kishimoto, N. Ozawa, and S. Sakai, Homogeneity of the pure state space of a separable C^* -algebra, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 46 (2003), 365–372.
- [23] H. Lin and N.C. Phillips, Approximate unitary equivalence of homomorphisms from $\mathcal{O}_\infty$, *J. reine angew. Math.* 464 (1995), 173–186.
- [24] H. Nakamura, Aperiodic automorphisms of nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebras, *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* 20 (2000), 1749–1765.
- [25] N.C. Phillips, A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebras, *Doc. Math.* 5 (2000), 49–114 (electronic).
- [26] M. Rørdam, Classification of certain infinite simple C^* -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 131 (1995), 415–458.
- [27] S. Sakai, *Operator Algebras in Dynamical Systems*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991.